RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)

NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)
FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Name of the Faculty	Name of the Department
B. Tech	P. RAJA SEKHAR.	S&H

Date of Feedback	Academic Year	Semester
28-09-2018.	2018-2019	I

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	If Average or poor the changes suggested
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?		✓				
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?	✓					
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?		✓				
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?	✓					
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?		✓				
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?	✓					
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?	✓					
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?		✓				
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?		✓				
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?	✓					

Any other suggestions: .....

Date: 28-09-2018.

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, Valluru 523 22?

Signature of the Faculty

RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)

NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)
FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Name of the Faculty	Name of the Department
B.Tech	A. Ravikumar	S&H.

Date of Feedback	Academic Year	Semester
28-09-2018	2018-19	I

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	If Average or poor the changes suggested
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?		✓				
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?	✓					
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?		✓				
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?	✓					
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?		✓				
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?	✓					
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?	✓					
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?		✓				
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?		✓				
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?	✓					

Any other suggestions: .....

Date: 28/9/2018

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, Valluru 523 272

A. Ravikumar

Signature of the Faculty

RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)
NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)
FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Name of the Faculty	Name of the Department
BTech	Mrs. Chandra Rao	ECE

Date of Feedback	Academic Year	Semester
29/11/2018	2018-2019	I

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	If Average or poor the changes suggested
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?			✓			
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?	✓					
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?		✓				
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?		✓				
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?		✓				
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?	✓					
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?		✓				
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?		✓				
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?	✓					
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?	✓					

Any other suggestions: Research Support has to be improve.

Date: 29/11/2018

IQAC Coordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, Valluru 523 272

Mrs. Chandra Ra
Signature of the Faculty

RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)
NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)
FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Name of the Faculty	Name of the Department
B.tech	Dr. R. Sivakumar	FCF

Date of Feedback	Academic Year	Semester
29-11-2018	2018-2019	I

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	If Average or poor the changes suggested
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?		✓				
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?		✓				
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?			✓			
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?			✓			
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?			✓			
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?		✓				
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?		✓				
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?	✓					
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?	✓					
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?	✓					

Any other suggestions: ...conduct ..... more ..... FDP's .....

Date: 29/11/2018

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, Valluru 523 272

Dr. R. Sivakumar
Signature of the Faculty

RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)
NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)
FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Name of the Faculty	Name of the Department
B.Tech	CH. SITA RAM	CSE

Date of Feedback	Academic Year	Semester
25/10/2018	2018-19	I

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	If Average or poor the changes suggested
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?		✓				
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?	✓					
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?		✓				
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?	✓					
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?			✓			
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?	✓					
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?		✓				
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?	✓					
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?		✓				
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?		✓				

Any other suggestions: ..... Advised to students to register to NPTEL courses .....

Date: 25/10/2018

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group of Institutions, Valluru 523 272

Signature of the Faculty

RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)
NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)
FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Name of the Faculty	Name of the Department
B.Tech	CH. Harikrishna	CSE

Date of Feedback	Academic Year	Semester
25/10/2018	2018 – 19	I

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	If Average or poor the changes suggested
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?		✓				
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?	✓					
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?	✓					
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?	✓					
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?			✓			
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?	✓					
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?		✓				
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?		✓				
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?		✓				
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?		✓				

Any other suggestions: ...Conducted...workshops...and...certificate...programs...associated...with...Industries.....

Date: 25/10/2018

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group of Institutions, Valluru 523 272

Signature of the Faculty

RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)
NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)
FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Name of the Faculty	Name of the Department
B.Tech	Ravulapalli, Harendra	EEE

Date of Feedback	Academic Year	Semester
29/11/2018	2018-2019	I

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	If Average or poor the changes suggested
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?	✓					
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?	✓					
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?	✓					
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?	✓					
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?	✓					
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?	✓					
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?	✓					
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?	✓					
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?	✓					
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?	✓					

Any other suggestions: .....

Date: 29/11/2018

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group of Institutions, Valluru 523 22

R. Harendra
Signature of the Faculty

RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)
NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)
FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Name of the Faculty	Name of the Department
B.tech	Kunapati · Srinivasulu	E E E

Date of Feedback	Academic Year	Semester
29/11/2018	2018-2019	I

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	If Average or poor the changes suggested
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?		✓				
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?		✓				
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?	✓					
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?		✓				
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?		✓				
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?	✓					
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?	✓					
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?	✓					
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?	✓					
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?	✓					

Any other suggestions: .....

Date: 29/11/18

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group of Institutions, Valluru 523 272

Srinivas
Signature of the Faculty

RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)
NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)
FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Name of the Faculty	Name of the Department
BTech	Aranthasagaram Srikanth	Mechanical

Date of Feedback	Academic Year	Semester
29-11-2018	2018-2019	I

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	If Average or poor the changes suggested
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?	✓					
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?	✓					
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?	✓					
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?	✓					
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?	✓					
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?	✓					
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?	✓					
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?	✓					
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?	✓					
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?	✓					

Any other suggestions: .....

Date:

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, Valluru 523 272

Aranthasagaram Srl
Signature of the Faculty

RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)
NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)
FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Name of the Faculty	Name of the Department
Btech	Jogi Krishna	mechanical

Date of Feedback	Academic Year	Semester
29-11-2018	2018-2019	I

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	If Average or poor the changes suggested
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?		✓				
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?		✓				
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?		✓				
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?		✓				
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?		✓				
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?		✓				
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?		✓				
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?		✓				
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?		✓				
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?		✓				

Any other suggestions: ..... No comment: .....

Date: 29-11-2018.

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, Valluru 523 272

Signature of the Faculty Jogi Krishna.

RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)
NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)
FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Name of the Faculty	Name of the Department
PG	M. Sireesha	MBA

Date of Feedback	Academic Year	Semester
2/11/2018	2018 - 2019	III

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	If Average or poor the changes suggested
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?		✓				
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?		✓				
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?		✓				
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?		✓				
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?		✓				
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?		✓				
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?		✓				
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?		✓				
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?	✓					
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?		✓				

Any other suggestions: .....

Date: 2/11/2018

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, Valluru 523 272

M. Sireesha
Signature of the Faculty

RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)
NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)
FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Name of the Faculty	Name of the Department
PG	G. Sekharbabu	MBA

Date of Feedback	Academic Year	Semester
02/11/2018	2018 - 2019	III

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	If Average or poor the changes suggested
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?	✓					
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?	✓					
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?	✓					
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?	✓					
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?	✓					
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?	✓					
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?	✓					
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?	✓					
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?	✓					
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?	✓					

Any other suggestions: .....

Date: 02/11/2018

IQAC Co-Ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Gr
of Institutions, Valluru 52

G. Sekharbabu
Signature of the Faculty

RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)
NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)
FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Name of the Faculty	Name of the Department
BTech	B. Aparna	S&H

Date of Feedback	Academic Year	Semester
26-02-2019	2018 - 2019	II

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	If Average or poor the changes suggested
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?	✓					
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?		✓				
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?	✓					
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?	✓					
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?		✓				
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?	✓					
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?		✓				
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?	✓					
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?		✓				
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?	✓					

Any other suggestions: .....

Date: 26-02-2019

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group of Institutions, Valluru 523 272

B. Aparna
Signature of the Faculty

RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)
NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)
FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Name of the Faculty	Name of the Department
B.Tech	B.Mahendra Reddy	S&H

Date of Feedback	Academic Year	Semester
26-02-2019	2018-19	II

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	If Average or poor the changes suggested
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?	✓					
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?		✓				
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?		✓				
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?	✓					
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?	✓					
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?		✓				
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?	✓					
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?	✓					
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?		✓				
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?	✓					

Any other suggestions: ..... Need Research related practices .....

Date: 26-02-2019

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, Valluru 523 272

Signature of the Faculty

RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)
NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)
FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Name of the Faculty	Name of the Department
B.Tech	CH. Harikrishna	CSE

Date of Feedback	Academic Year	Semester
25/4/2019	2018-19	II

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	If Average or poor the changes suggested
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?		✓				
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?	✓					
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?		✓				
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?		✓				
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?	✓					
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?		✓				
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?	✓					
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?		✓				
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?	✓					
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?		✓				

Any other suggestions: ....NIL.....

Date: 25/4/2019

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Grou
of Institutions, Valluru 523 27

Signature of the Faculty

RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)
NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)
FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Name of the Faculty	Name of the Department
B.Tech	CH SITA RAM	CSE

Date of Feedback	Academic Year	Semester
25/4/2019	2018-19	II

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	If Average or poor the changes suggested
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?	✓					
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?		✓				
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?	✓					
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?	✓					
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?		✓				
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?		✓				
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?	✓					
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?	✓					
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?	✓		✓			
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?	✓					

Any other suggestions: ....NILL.....

Date: 25/4/2019.

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group of Institutions, Valluru 523 272

Signature of the Faculty

RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)
NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)
FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Name of the Faculty	Name of the Department
B.Tech	Dr.C Jaya Kumar	ECE

Date of Feedback	Academic Year	Semester
31-05-19	2018-2019	II

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	If Average or poor the changes suggested
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?		✓				
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?			✓			
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?		✓				
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?		✓				
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?		✓				
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?	✓					
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?	✓					
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?	✓					
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?			✓			
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?		✓				

Any other suggestions: Industry interaction and more visits are required.

Date: 31-05-2019

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group of Institutions, Valluru 523 272

C. Jaya Kumar
Signature of the Faculty

RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)
NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)
FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Name of the Faculty	Name of the Department
B.Tech	Mr. P. Valluraiah	ECE

Date of Feedback	Academic Year	Semester
31/05/2019	2018-2019	II

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	If Average or poor the changes suggested
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?			✓			
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?		✓				
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?		✓				
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?	✓					
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?	✓					
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?	✓					
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?	✓					
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?		✓				
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?		✓				
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?	✓					

Any other suggestions: Provide more Web resources (Journal papers, conference papers)

Date: 31/05/2019

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, Valluru 523 272

P. Valluraiah
Signature of the Faculty

RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)
NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)
FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Name of the Faculty	Name of the Department
B.Tech	V.S.V. Chinnarao	EEE

Date of Feedback	Academic Year	Semester
31-05-2019	2018-2019	II

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	If Average or poor the changes suggested
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?		✓				
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?		✓				
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?	✓					
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?		✓				
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?		✓				
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?	✓					
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?		✓				
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?	✓					
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?	✓					
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?	✓					

Any other suggestions: .....

Date: 31-05-2019

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, Valluru 523 272

S.V.S. Chinnarao
Signature of the Faculty

RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)
NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)
FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Name of the Faculty	Name of the Department
B.Tech	Kurapati . Srinivasulu	EEE

Date of Feedback	Academic Year	Semester
31/05/2019	2018 - 2019	II

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	If Average or poor the changes suggested
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?	✓					
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?	✓					
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?	✓					
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?	✓					
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?	✓					
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?	✓					
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?	✓					
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?	✓					
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?	✓					
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?	✓					

Any other suggestions: .....

Date: 31/05/2019

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group of Institutions, Valluru 523 272

Srinivasulu
Signature of the Faculty

RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)
NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)
FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Name of the Faculty	Name of the Department
B.tech	Kankata. Maruthibabu	Mechanical

Date of Feedback	Academic Year	Semester
31-05-2019	2018-2019	II

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	If Average or poor the changes suggested
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?	✓					
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?	✓					
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?	✓					
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?	✓					
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?	✓					
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?	✓					
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?	✓					
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?	✓					
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?	✓					
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?	✓					

Any other suggestions: .....

Date: 31/05/2019

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, Valluru 523 272

K. Maruthibabu
Signature of the Faculty

RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)
NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)
FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Name of the Faculty	Name of the Department
B Tech	Ganta Nagaraju	Mechanical

Date of Feedback	Academic Year	Semester
31-05-2019	2018-2019	II

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	If Average or poor the changes suggested
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?		✓				
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?		✓				
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?		✓				
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?		✓				
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?		✓				
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?		✓				
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?		✓				
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?		✓				
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?		✓				
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?		✓				

Any other suggestions: .....

Date: 31-05-2019

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, Valluru 523 272

G. Nagaraju
Signature of the Faculty

RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)
NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)
FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Name of the Faculty	Name of the Department
PG	Sanisetty.Suresh babu	M.B.A

Date of Feedback	Academic Year	Semester
25/3/2019	2018-2019	IV

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	If Average or poor the changes suggested
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?	✓					
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?	✓					
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?	✓					
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?	✓					
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?	✓					
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?	✓					
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?	✓					
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?	✓					
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?	✓					
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?	✓					

Any other suggestions: .....

Date: 25/3/2019

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group of Institutions, Valluru 523

S. Suresh babu.
Signature of the Faculty

RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)
NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)
FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Name of the Faculty	Name of the Department
P.G	Appla. Kishore Babu.	M.B.A

Date of Feedback	Academic Year	Semester
25/3/2019.	2018 - 2019	IV

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	If Average or poor the changes suggested
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?		✓				
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?		✓				
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?		✓				
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?		✓				
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?		✓				
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?		✓				
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?		✓				
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?	✓					
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?	✓					
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?		✓				

Any other suggestions: .....

Date: 25/3/2019.

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, Valluru 523 272

A. Kishore babu.
Signature of the Faculty

RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)
NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

ANALYSIS OF FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name: B.Tech	**Academic Year: 2018-2019**
Department Name: S&H	**Year/Semester: I-I**

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?	10	2	0	0	0
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?	11	1	0	0	0
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?	9	2	1	0	0
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?	8	2	2	0	0
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?	10	2	0	0	0
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?	11	1	0	0	0
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?	10	1	1	0	0
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?	8	2	2	0	0
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?	9	3	0	0	0
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?	11	1	0	0	0

HOD
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT
Dept Of S & H
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions,VALLURU,A.P.523 272

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, Valluru 523 272

ANALYSIS OF FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Department Name	Academic Year	Semester
B.Tech	**S&H**	**2018-2019**	**I-I**

1.How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
10	2	0	0	0



2.How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
11	1	0	0	0



3. How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
9	2	1	0	0



4. How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
8	2	2	0	0



5. How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
10	2	0	0	0



6. How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content ?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
11	1	0	0	0



7.How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
10	1	1	0	0



8. How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
8	2	2	0	0



9. How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
9	3	0	0	0



10. Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
11	1	0	0	0



RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)
NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

REPORT ON FACULTY FEEDBACK ANALYSIS ON CURRICULUM

Program Name:B.TECH	**Academic Year: 2018-2019**
Department Name:S&H	**Year/Semester:I-I**

1. How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that83.33 % of the faculty members rated excellent about the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies & 16.67% of the faculty members rated very good.

2. How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 91.67% of the faculty members rated excellent about the availability resources to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively & 8.33% of the faculty members rated very good.

3. How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 75.00% of the faculty members rated excellent about the engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions, 16.67% of the faculty members rated very good & 8.33% of the faculty members rated it good.

4. How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 66.67% of the faculty members rated excellent about the opportunities for professional development and training,16.67% of the faculty members rated very good & 16.67% of the faculty members rated it good.

5. How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that83.33 % of the faculty members rated excellent about the support for faculty research, publications and conference attendance & 16.67% of the faculty members rated very good.

6. How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 91.67% of the faculty members rated excellent about the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content & 8.33 % of the faculty members rated very good.

7. How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 83.33% of the faculty members rated excellent about the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college, 8.33% of the faculty members rated very good & 8.33% of the faculty members rated it good.

8. How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 66.67% of the faculty members rated excellent about the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence, 16.67% of the faculty members rated very good & 16.67% of the faculty members rated it good.

9. How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?
   From the analysis report, it was observed that 75.00% of the faculty members rated excellent about the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics & 25.00% of the faculty members rated very good.

10. Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?

    From the analysis report, it was observed that 91.67% of the faculty members rated excellent about the overall academic environment and performance of the college & 8.33% of the faculty members rated very good.

COORDINATOR

HOD

HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT
Dept Of S & H
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, VALLURU, A.P. 523 272

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, Valluru 523 272

RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)
NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

ANALYSIS OF FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

ProgrammeName : B.Tech	Academic Year : 2018-2019
Department Name : Civil	Semester : I

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?	15	1	1	0	0
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?	16	1	0	0	0
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?	14	2	1	0	0
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?	13	2	2	0	0
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?	16	1	0	0	0
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?	14	2	1	0	0
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?	13	3	1	0	0
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?	14	2	1	0	0
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?	15	1	1	0	0
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?	14	2	1	0	0

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions-523 272

HOD
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT
Dept. of CIVIL Engineering
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions,VALLURU,A.P.-523 272

ANALYSIS OF FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Department Name	Academic Year	Semester
B.Tech	**Civil**	**2018-2019**	**I**

1.How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
15	1	1	0	0

2.How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
16	1	0	0	0



3. How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
14	2	1	0	0



4. How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
13	2	2	0	0

5. How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
16	1	0	0	0



6. How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content ?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
14	2	1	0	0

7.How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
13	3	1	0	0

8. How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
14	2	1	0	0



9. How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
15	1	1	0	0



10. Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
14	2	1	0	0



RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)
NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

REPORT ON FACULTY FEEDBACK ANALYSIS ON CURRICULUM

Program Name:B.TECH	**Academic Year: 2018-19**
Department Name:Civil	**Year/Semester : I**

1. How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 88.24 % of the faculty members rated excellent about the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies, 5.88% of the faculty members rated very good&5.88% of the faculty members rated it good.

2. How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 94.12% of the faculty members rated excellent about the availability resources to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively, % 5.88of the faculty members rated very good.

3. How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 82.35% of the faculty members rated excellent about the engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions, 11.76% of the faculty members rated very good.

4. How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 76.47% of the faculty members rated excellent about the opportunities for professional development and training, 11.76% of the faculty members rated very good.

5. How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that94.12 % of the faculty members rated excellent about the support for faculty research, publications and conference attendance, 5.88% of the faculty members rated very good.

6. How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 82.35% of the faculty members rated excellent about the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content,11.76 % of the faculty members rated very good &5.88% of the faculty members rated it good.

7. How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 76.47% of the faculty members rated excellent about the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college, 17.65% of the faculty members rated very good.

8. How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 82.35% of the faculty members rated excellent about the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence, 11.76% of the faculty members rated very good.

9. How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?
   From the analysis report, it was observed that 88.24% of the faculty members rated excellent about the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics, 5.88% of the faculty members rated very good &5.88% of the faculty members rated it good.

10. Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?

    From the analysis report, it was observed that 82.35% of the faculty members rated excellentabout the overall academic environment and performance of the college, 11.76% of the faculty members rated very good&5.88% of the faculty members rated it good.

CO-ORDINATOR

HOD

HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT
Dept. of CIVIL Engineering
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions,VALLURU,A.P.-523 272

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, Valluru 523 272

RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)
NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

ANALYSIS OF FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name: B.Tech	**Academic Year: 2018-19**
Department Name: EEE	**Semester: I**

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?	2	1	0	0	0
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?	1	2	0	0	0
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?	3	0	0	0	0
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?	1	2	0	0	0
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?	1	2	0	0	0
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?	2	1	0	0	0
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?	2	1	0	0	0
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?	3	0	0	0	0
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?	3	0	0	0	0
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?	2	1	0	0	0

HOD

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, Valluru 523 272

ANALYSIS OF FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Department Name	Academic Year	Semester
B.Tech	**EEE**	**2018-2019**	**I**

1.How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
2	1	0	0	0



2.How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	2	0	0	0



3. How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
3	0	0	0	0



4. How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	2	0	0	0



5. How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	2	0	0	0



6. How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content ?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
2	1	0	0	0



7.How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
2	1	0	0	0



8. How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
3	0	0	0	0

9. How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
3	0	0	0	0



10. Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
2	1	0	0	0

RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)
NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

REPORT ON FACULTY FEEDBACK ANALYSIS ON CURRICULUM

Program Name: B.TECH	**Academic Year: 2018-2019**
Department Name: EEE	**Year/Semester: I**

1. How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 66.67 % of the faculty members rated excellent about the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies, 33.33% of the faculty members rated very good.

2. How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 33.33% of the faculty members rated excellent about the availability resources to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively, % 66.67of the faculty members rated very.

3. How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 100.00% of the faculty members rated excellent about the engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions.

4. How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 33.33% of the faculty members rated excellent about the opportunities for professional development and training, 66.67% of the faculty members rated very good.

5. How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 33.33 % of the faculty members rated excellent about the support for faculty research, publications and conference attendance, 66.67% of the faculty members rated very good.

6. How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 66.67% of the faculty members rated excellent about the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content,33.33 % of the faculty members rated very good.

7. How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 66.67% of the faculty members rated excellent about the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college, 33.33% of the faculty members rated very good.

8. How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 100.00% of the faculty members rated excellent about the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence.

9. How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?
   From the analysis report, it was observed that 100.00% of the faculty members rated excellent about the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics.

10. Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?

    From the analysis report, it was observed that 66.67% of the faculty members rated excellent about the overall academic environment and performance of the college, 33.33% of the faculty members rated very good .

R.haverdra
COORDINATOR

HOD

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, Vallur 523 272

RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)
NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

ANALYSIS OF FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name: B.Tech	Academic Year: 2018-19
Department Name: MECHANICAL	Semester: I

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?	4	2	0	0	0
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?	4	2	0	0	0
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?	3	3	0	0	0
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?	5	1	0	0	0
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?	4	2	0	0	0
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?	4	2	0	0	0
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?	5	1	0	0	0
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?	4	2	0	0	0
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?	5	1	0	0	0
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?	4	2	0	0	0

K. N. Kasyap
HOD

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, Valluru 523

ANALYSIS OF FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Department Name	Academic Year	Semester
B.Tech	**MECHANICAL**	**2018-2019**	**I**

1.How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
4	2	0	0	0



2.How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
4	2	0	0	0



3. How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
3	3	0	0	0



4. How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
5	1	0	0	0



5. How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
4	2	0	0	0



6. How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content ?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
4	2	0	0	0



7.How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
5	1	0	0	0

8. How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
4	2	0	0	0



9. How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
5	1	0	0	0



10. Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
4	2	0	0	0



RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)
NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

REPORT ON FACULTY FEEDBACK ANALYSIS ON CURRICULUM

Program Name: B.TECH	**Academic Year: 2018-2019**
Department Name: Mechanical	**Year/Semester: I**

1. How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 66.67 % of the faculty members rated excellent about the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies, 33.33% of the faculty members rated very good.

2. How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 66.67% of the faculty members rated excellent about the availability resources to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively, % 33.33of the faculty members rated very good.

3. How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 50.00% of the faculty members rated excellent about the engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions, 50.00% of the faculty members rated very good.

4. How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 83.33% of the faculty members rated excellent about the opportunities for professional development and training, 16.67% of the faculty members rated very good.

5. How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 66.67 % of the faculty members rated excellent about the support for faculty research, publications and conference attendance, 33.33% of the faculty members rated very good.

6. How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 66.67% of the faculty members rated excellent about the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content,33.33 % of the faculty members rated very good.

7. How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 83.33% of the faculty members rated excellent about the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college, 16.67% of the faculty members rated very good.

8. How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 66.67% of the faculty members rated excellent about the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence, 33.33% of the faculty members rated very good.

9. How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?
   From the analysis report, it was observed that 83.33% of the faculty members rated excellent about the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics, 16.67% of the faculty members rated very good.
10. Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?

    From the analysis report, it was observed that 66.67% of the faculty members rated excellent about the overall academic environment and performance of the college, 33.33% of the faculty members rated very good.

K. Hari babu

COORDINATOR

K. N. Kasyap

HOD

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, Valluru 523 272

RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)

NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

ANALYSIS OF FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name: B.Tech	Academic Year: 2018-2019
Department Name: ECE	Semester: I

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?	19	3	1	0	0
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?	21	2	0	0	0
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?	20	2	1	0	0
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?	21	1	1	0	0
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?	20	2	1	0	0
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?	21	1	1	0	0
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?	21	2	0	0	0
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?	22	1	0	0	0
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?	21	1	1	0	0
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?	22	1	0	0	0

S.V.

HOD

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, Valluru 523 272

HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT
Department of E.C.E
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, Valluru, A.P. 523 272

ANALYSIS OF FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Department Name	Academic Year	Semester
B.Tech	**ECE**	**2018-2019**	**I**

1.How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
19	3	1	0	0



2.How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
21	2	0	0	0



3. How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
20	2	1	0	0



4. How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
21	1	1	0	0



5. How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
20	2	1	0	0



6. How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content ?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
21	1	1	0	0



7.How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
21	2	0	0	0



8. How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
22	1	0	0	0

9. How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
21	1	1	0	0



10. Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
22	1	0	0	0



RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)

NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

REPORT ON FACULTY FEEDBACK ANALYSIS ON CURRICULUM

Program Name: B.TECH	**Academic Year: 2018-2019**
Department Name: ECE	**Year/Semester: I**

1. How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 82.61 % of the faculty members rated excellent about the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies, 13.04% of the faculty members rated very good & 4.35% of the faculty members rated it good.

2. How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 91.30% of the faculty members rated excellent about the availability resources to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively, % 8.70of the faculty members rated very good.

3. How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 86.96% of the faculty members rated excellent about the engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions, 8.70% of the faculty members rated very good & 4.35% of the faculty members rated it good.

4. How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 91.30% of the faculty members rated excellent about the opportunities for professional development and training, 4.35% of the faculty members rated very good & 4.35% of the faculty members rated it good.

5. How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 86.96 % of the faculty members rated excellent about the support for faculty research, publications and conference attendance, 8.70% of the faculty members rated very good & 4.35% of the faculty members rated it good.

6. How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 91.30% of the faculty members rated excellent about the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content,4.35 % of the faculty members rated very good & 4.35% of the faculty members rated it good.

7. How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 91.30% of the faculty members rated excellent about the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college, 8.70% of the faculty members rated very good.

8. How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 95.65% of the faculty members rated excellent about the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence, 4.35% of the faculty members rated very good.

9. How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?
   From the analysis report, it was observed that 91.30% of the faculty members rated excellent about the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics, 4.35% of the faculty members rated very good & 4.35% of the faculty members rated it good.

10. Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?

    From the analysis report, it was observed that 95.65% of the faculty members rated excellent about the overall academic environment and performance of the college, 4.35% of the faculty members rated very good.

COORDINATOR

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, Valluru 523 272

HOD

HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT
Department of E.C.E
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi

RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)
NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

ANALYSIS OF FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

ProgrammeName:B.Tech	Academic Year:2018-2019
Department Name:CSE	Semester:I

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?	24	2	2	0	0
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?	25	2	1	0	0
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?	26	1	1	0	0
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?	25	2	1	0	0
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?	24	3	1	0	0
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?	25	2	1	0	0
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?	24	3	1	0	0
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?	23	3	2	0	0
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?	24	3	1	0	0
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?	25	2	1	0	0

HOD
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT
Department of CSE
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group of Institutions, VALLUR, A.P.-523 272

IQAC Coordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group

ANALYSIS OF FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Department Name	Academic Year	Semester
B.Tech	**CSE**	**2018-2019**	**I**

1.How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
24	2	2	0	0

2.How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
25	2	1	0	0



3. How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
26	1	1	0	0



4. How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
25	2	1	0	0

5. How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
24	3	1	0	0



6. How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content ?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
25	2	1	0	0



7.How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
24	3	1	0	0



8. How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
23	3	2	0	0

9. How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
24	3	1	0	0



10. Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
25	2	1	0	0



RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)

NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

REPORT ON FACULTY FEEDBACK ANALYSIS ON CURRICULUM

Program Name:B.TECH	**Academic Year: 2018-2019**
Department Name:CSE	**Year/Semester:I**

1. How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that85.71 % of the faculty members rated excellent about the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies, 7.14% of the faculty members rated very good&7.14% of the faculty members rated it good.

2. How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 89.29% of the faculty members rated excellent about the availability resources to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively, % 7.14of the faculty members rated very good&3.57% of the faculty members rated it good.

3. How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 92.86% of the faculty members rated excellent about the engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions, 3.57% of the faculty members rated very good.

4. How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 89.29% of the faculty members rated excellent about the opportunities for professional development and training, 7.14% of the faculty members rated very good.

5. How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that85.71 % of the faculty members rated excellent about the support for faculty research, publications and conference attendance, 10.71% of the faculty members rated very good&3.57% of the faculty members rated it good.

6. How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 89.29% of the faculty members rated excellent about the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content,7.14 % of the faculty members rated very good &3.57% of the faculty members rated it good.

7. How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 85.71% of the faculty members rated excellent about the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college, 10.71% of the faculty members rated very good.

8. How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 82.14% of the faculty members rated excellent about the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence, 10.71% of the faculty members rated very good.

9. How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?
   From the analysis report, it was observed that 85.71% of the faculty members rated excellent about the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics, 10.71% of the faculty members rated very good &3.57% of the faculty members rated it good.

10. Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?

    From the analysis report, it was observed that 89.29% of the faculty members rated excellentabout the overall academic environment and performance of the college, 7.14% of the faculty members rated very good&3.57% of the faculty members rated it good.

COORDINATOR

HOD

EAD OF THE DEPARTMENT
Department of CSE
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group of
itutions,VALLUR,A.P.-523 272

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, Valluru 523 272

RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)
NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

ANALYSIS OF FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name: PG	**Academic Year: 2018-19**
Department Name: MBA	**Semester: III**

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?	4	1	0	0	0
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?	3	2	0	0	0
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?	3	2	0	0	0
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?	2	3	0	0	0
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?	3	2	0	0	0
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?	3	2	0	0	0
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?	4	1	0	0	0
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?	4	1	0	0	0
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?	5	0	0	0	0
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?	4	1	0	0	0

P. Kusuma Kumari
HOD

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions

ANALYSIS OF FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Department Name	Academic Year	Semester
PG	MBA	2018-2019	III

1.How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
4	1	0	0	0



2.How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
3	2	0	0	0



3. How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
3	2	0	0	0



4. How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
2	3	0	0	0



5. How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
3	2	0	0	0



6. How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content ?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
3	2	0	0	0



7.How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
4	1	0	0	0



8. How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
4	1	0	0	0

9. How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
5	0	0	0	0



10. Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
4	1	0	0	0



RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)
NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

REPORT ON FACULTY FEEDBACK ANALYSIS ON CURRICULUM

Program Name: PG	**Academic Year: 2018-2019**
Department Name: MBA	**Semester: III**

1. How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 80.00 % of the faculty members rated excellent about the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies, 20.00% of the faculty members rated very good .

2. How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 60.00% of the faculty members rated excellent about the availability resources to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively, % 40.00of the faculty members rated very good.

3. How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 60.00% of the faculty members rated excellent about the engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions, 40.00% of the faculty members rated very good.

4. How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 40.00% of the faculty members rated excellent about the opportunities for professional development and training, 60.00% of the faculty members rated very good.

5. How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 60.00 % of the faculty members rated excellent about the support for faculty research, publications and conference attendance, 40.00% of the faculty members rated very good.

6. How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 60.00% of the faculty members rated excellent about the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content,40.00 % of the faculty members rated very good.

7. How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 80.00% of the faculty members rated excellent about the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college, 20.00% of the faculty members rated very good.

8. How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 80.00% of the faculty members rated excellent about the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence, 20.00% of the faculty members rated very good.

9. How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?
   From the analysis report, it was observed that 100.00% of the faculty members rated excellent about the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics.

10. Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?

    From the analysis report, it was observed that 80.00% of the faculty members rated excellent about the overall academic environment and performance of the college, 20.00% of the faculty members rated very good.

M. Sireesha
COORDINATOR

P. Kusuma Kumari
HOD

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gan... Gr...
of Institutions, Valluru 523 4...

RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)

NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

ANALYSIS OF FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name: B.Tech	Academic Year: 2018-2019
Department Name: S&H	Year/Semester: I-II

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?	11	1	0	0	0
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?	8	3	1	0	0
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?	9	2	1	0	0
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?	10	2	0	0	0
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?	9	2	1	0	0
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?	9	3	0	0	0
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?	8	2	2	0	0
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?	12	0	0	0	0
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?	9	2	1	0	0
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?	10	1	1	0	0

HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT
Dept Of S & H
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, VALLURU, A.P.523 272

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, Valluru 523 272

ANALYSIS OF FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Department Name	Academic Year	Semester
B.Tech	**S&H**	**2018-2019**	**I-II**

1.How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
11	1	0	0	0

2.How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
8	3	1	0	0



3. How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
9	2	1	0	0



4. How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
10	2	0	0	0



5. How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
9	2	1	0	0



6. How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content ?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
9	3	0	0	0

7.How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
8	2	2	0	0

8. How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
12	0	0	0	0

9. How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
9	2	1	0	0



10. Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
10	1	1	0	0



RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)

NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

REPORT ON FACULTY FEEDBACK ANALYSIS ON CURRICULUM

Program Name:B.TECH	**Academic Year: 2018-2019**
Department Name:S&H	**Year/Semester:I-II**

1. How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that91.67 % of the faculty members rated excellent about the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies & 8.33% of the faculty members rated very good.

2. How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 66.67% of the faculty members rated excellent about the availability resources to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively,25.00% of the faculty members rated very good & 8.33% of the faculty members rated it good.

3. How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 75.00% of the faculty members rated excellent about the engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions, 16.67% of the faculty members rated very good & 8.33% of the faculty members rated it good.

4. How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 83.33% of the faculty members rated excellent about the opportunities for professional development and training,16.67% of the faculty members rated very good.

5. How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that75.00 % of the faculty members rated excellent about the support for faculty research, publications and conference attendance, 16.67% of the faculty members rated very good & 8.33% of the faculty members rated it good.

6. How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 75.00% of the faculty members rated excellent about the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content & 25.00 % of the faculty members rated very good.

7. How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 66.67% of the faculty members rated excellent about the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college, 16.67% of the faculty members rated very good & 16.67% of the faculty members rated it good.

8. How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 100.00% of the faculty members rated excellent about the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence.

9. How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?
   From the analysis report, it was observed that 75.00% of the faculty members rated excellent about the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics, 16.67% of the faculty members rated very good & 8.33% of the faculty members rated it good.

10. Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?

    From the analysis report, it was observed that 83.33% of the faculty members rated excellentabout the overall academic environment and performance of the college,8.33% of the faculty members rated very good & 8.33% of the faculty members rated it good.

COORDINATOR

HOD

HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT
Dept Of S & H
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, VALLURU, A.P.523 272

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, Valluru 523 272

RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)
NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

ANALYSIS OF FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

ProgrammeName:B.Tech	Academic Year:2018-2019
Department Name:Civil	Semester:II

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?	14	2	1	0	0
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?	15	1	1	0	0
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?	15	1	1	0	0
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?	13	2	2	0	0
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?	16	1	0	0	0
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?	13	2	2	0	0
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?	13	3	1	0	0
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?	14	2	1	0	0
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?	15	1	1	0	0
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?	15	1	1	0	0

HOD

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, Valluru 523 272

HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT
Dept. of CIVIL Engineering
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, VALLURU, A.P.-523 272

ANALYSIS OF FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Department Name	Academic Year	Semester
B.Tech	**Civil**	**2018-2019**	**II**

1.How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
14	2	1	0	0



2.How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
15	1	1	0	0



3. How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
15	1	1	0	0

4. How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
13	2	2	0	0



5. How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
16	1	0	0	0



6. How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content ?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
13	2	2	0	0



7.How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
13	3	1	0	0



8. How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
14	2	1	0	0



9. How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
15	1	1	0	0

10. Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
15	1	1	0	0

RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)
NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

REPORT ON FACULTY FEEDBACK ANALYSIS ON CURRICULUM

Program Name:B.TECH	**Academic Year: 2018-19**
Department Name:Civil	**Year/Semester : II**

1. How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 82.35 % of the faculty members rated excellent about the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies, 11.76% of the faculty members rated very good&5.88% of the faculty members rated it good.

2. How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 88.24% of the faculty members rated excellent about the availability resources to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively, % 5.88of the faculty members rated very good&5.88% of the faculty members rated it good.

3. How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 88.24% of the faculty members rated excellent about the engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions, 5.88% of the faculty members rated very good.

4. How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 76.47% of the faculty members rated excellent about the opportunities for professional development and training, 11.76% of the faculty members rated very good.

5. How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that94.12 % of the faculty members rated excellent about the support for faculty research, publications and conference attendance, 5.88% of the faculty members rated very good.

6. How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 76.47% of the faculty members rated excellent about the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content,11.76 % of the faculty members rated very good &11.76% of the faculty members rated it good.

7. How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 76.47% of the faculty members rated excellent about the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college, 17.65% of the faculty members rated very good.

8. How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 82.35% of the faculty members rated excellent about the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence, 11.76% of the faculty members rated very good.

9. How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?
   From the analysis report, it was observed that 88.24% of the faculty members rated excellent about the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics, 5.88% of the faculty members rated very good &5.88% of the faculty members rated it good.

10. Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?

    From the analysis report, it was observed that 88.24% of the faculty members rated excellentabout the overall academic environment and performance of the college, 5.88% of the faculty members rated very good&5.88% of the faculty members rated it good.

CO-ORDINATOR

HOD

HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT
Dept. of CIVIL Engineering
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, VALLURU, A.P.-523 272

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, Valluru 523 272

RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)
NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

ANALYSIS OF FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name: B.Tech	**Academic Year: 2018-19**
Department Name: EEE	**Semester: II**

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?	2	1	0	0	0
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?	1	2	0	0	0
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?	3	0	0	0	0
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?	2	1	0	0	0
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?	1	2	0	0	0
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?	3	0	0	0	0
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?	2	1	0	0	0
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?	3	0	0	0	0
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?	3	0	0	0	0
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?	3	0	0	0	0

HOD

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, Valluru 523 272

ANALYSIS OF FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Department Name	Academic Year	Semester
B.Tech	**EEE**	**2018-2019**	**II**

1.How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
2	1	0	0	0



2.How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	2	0	0	0



3. How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
3	0	0	0	0



4. How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
2	1	0	0	0



5. How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	2	0	0	0



6. How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content ?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
3	0	0	0	0



7.How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
2	1	0	0	0



8. How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
3	0	0	0	0



9. How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
3	0	0	0	0



10. Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
3	0	0	0	0



RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)
NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

REPORT ON FACULTY FEEDBACK ANALYSIS ON CURRICULUM

Program Name: B.TECH	**Academic Year: 2018-2019**
Department Name: EEE	**Year/Semester: II**

1. How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 66.67 % of the faculty members rated excellent about the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies, 33.33% of the faculty members rated very good.

2. How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 33.33% of the faculty members rated excellent about the availability resources to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively, % 66.67of the faculty members rated very good.

3. How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 100.00% of the faculty members rated excellent about the engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions

4. How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 66.67% of the faculty members rated excellent about the opportunities for professional development and training, 33.33% of the faculty members rated very good.

5. How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 33.33 % of the faculty members rated excellent about the support for faculty research, publications and conference attendance, 66.67% of the faculty members rated very good.

6. How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 100.00% of the faculty members rated excellent about the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content.

7. How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 66.67% of the faculty members rated excellent about the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college, 33.33% of the faculty members rated very good.

8. How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 100.00% of the faculty members rated excellent about the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence.

9. How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?
   From the analysis report, it was observed that 100.00% of the faculty members rated excellent about the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics.

10. Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?

    From the analysis report, it was observed that 100.00% of the faculty members rated excellent about the overall academic environment and performance of the college.

R. Havendra
COORDINATOR

HOD

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, Valluru 523 272

RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)
NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

ANALYSIS OF FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name: B.Tech	Academic Year: 2018-19
Department Name: MECHANICAL	Semester: II

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?	3	3	0	0	0
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?	4	2	0	0	0
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?	5	1	0	0	0
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?	4	2	0	0	0
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?	4	2	0	0	0
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?	4	2	0	0	0
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?	4	2	0	0	0
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?	4	2	0	0	0
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?	5	1	0	0	0
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?	5	1	0	0	0

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, Valluru 523 272

K.N. Kapyap
HOD

ANALYSIS OF FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Department Name	Academic Year	Semester
B.Tech	**MECHANICAL**	**2018-2019**	**II**

1.How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
3	3	0	0	0



2.How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
4	2	0	0	0



3. How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
5	1	0	0	0



4. How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
4	2	0	0	0



5. How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
4	2	0	0	0



6. How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content ?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
4	2	0	0	0



7.How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
4	2	0	0	0



8. How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
4	2	0	0	0



9. How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
5	1	0	0	0



10. Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
5	1	0	0	0



RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE
(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)
NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

REPORT ON FACULTY FEEDBACK ANALYSIS ON CURRICULUM

Program Name: B.TECH	**Academic Year: 2018-2019**
Department Name: Mechanical	**Year/Semester: II**

1. How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 50.00 % of the faculty members rated excellent about the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies, 50.00% of the faculty members rated very good.

2. How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 66.67% of the faculty members rated excellent about the availability resources to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively, % 33.33of the faculty members rated very good.

3. How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 83.33% of the faculty members rated excellent about the engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions, 16.67% of the faculty members rated very good.

4. How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 66.67% of the faculty members rated excellent about the opportunities for professional development and training, 33.33% of the faculty members rated very good.

5. How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 66.67 % of the faculty members rated excellent about the support for faculty research, publications and conference attendance, 33.33% of the faculty members rated very good.

6. How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 66.67% of the faculty members rated excellent about the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content,33.33 % of the faculty members rated very good.

7. How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 66.67% of the faculty members rated excellent about the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college, 33.33% of the faculty members rated very good.

8. How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 66.67% of the faculty members rated excellent about the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence, 33.33% of the faculty members rated very good.

9. How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?
   From the analysis report, it was observed that 83.33% of the faculty members rated excellent about the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics, 16.67% of the faculty members rated very good.

10. Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?

    From the analysis report, it was observed that 83.33% of the faculty members rated excellent about the overall academic environment and performance of the college, 16.67% of the faculty members rated very good.

K. Haribabu
COORDINATOR

K. N. Kasyap
HOD

IQAC Co-ordinator
PISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, Vallur 523 272

RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)

NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

ANALYSIS OF FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name: B.Tech	Academic Year: 2018-2019
Department Name: ECE	Semester: II

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?	20	2	1	0	0
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?	21	1	1	0	0
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?	20	3	0	0	0
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?	21	2	0	0	0
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?	20	2	1	0	0
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?	21	1	1	0	0
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?	21	2	0	0	0
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?	22	1	0	0	0
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?	21	1	1	0	0
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?	21	1	1	0	0

S.N. HOD

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, Valluru 523 272

HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT
Department of E.C.E
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, VALLURU, A.P.-523 272

ANALYSIS OF FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Department Name	Academic Year	Semester
B.Tech	**ECE**	**2018-2019**	**II**

1.How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
20	2	1	0	0



2.How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
21	1	1	0	0



3. How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
20	3	0	0	0



4. How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
21	2	0	0	0



5. How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
20	2	1	0	0



6. How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content ?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
21	1	1	0	0



7.How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
21	2	0	0	0



8. How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
22	1	0	0	0



9. How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
21	1	1	0	0

10. Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
21	1	1	0	0

RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)

NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

REPORT ON FACULTY FEEDBACK ANALYSIS ON CURRICULUM

Program Name: B.TECH	**Academic Year: 2018-2019**
Department Name: ECE	**Year/Semester: II**

1. How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 86.96 % of the faculty members rated excellent about the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies, 8.70% of the faculty members rated very good & 4.35% of the faculty members rated it good.

2. How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 91.30% of the faculty members rated excellent about the availability resources to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively, % 4.35of the faculty members rated very good & 4.35% of the faculty members rated it good.

3. How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 86.96% of the faculty members rated excellent about the engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions, 13.04% of the faculty members rated very good.

4. How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 91.30% of the faculty members rated excellent about the opportunities for professional development and training, 8.70% of the faculty members rated very good.

5. How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 86.96 % of the faculty members rated excellent about the support for faculty research, publications and conference attendance, 8.70% of the faculty members rated very good & 4.35% of the faculty members rated it good.

6. How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 91.30% of the faculty members rated excellent about the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content,4.35 % of the faculty members rated very good & 4.35% of the faculty members rated it good.

7. How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 91.30% of the faculty members rated excellent about the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college, 8.70% of the faculty members rated very good.

8. How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 95.65% of the faculty members rated excellent about the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence, 4.35% of the faculty members rated very good.

9. How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?
   From the analysis report, it was observed that 91.30% of the faculty members rated excellent about the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics, 4.35% of the faculty members rated very good & 4.35% of the faculty members rated it good.

10. Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?

    From the analysis report, it was observed that 91.30% of the faculty members rated excellent about the overall academic environment and performance of the college, 4.35% of the faculty members rated very good & 4.35% of the faculty members rated it good.

COORDINATOR

HOD

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, Valluru 52?

HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT
Department of E.C.E
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions,VALLURU,A.P.-523 272

RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)
NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

ANALYSIS OF FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

ProgrammeName:B.Tech	Academic Year:2018-2019
Department Name:CSE	Semester:II

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?	24	3	1	0	0
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?	25	2	1	0	0
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?	25	2	1	0	0
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?	26	1	1	0	0
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?	25	2	1	0	0
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?	25	2	1	0	0
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?	26	1	1	0	0
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?	23	3	2	0	0
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?	24	3	1	0	0
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?	25	2	1	0	0

HOD
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT
Department of CSE
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group of Institutions, VALLUR, A.P.-523 272

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, Valluru 523 272

ANALYSIS OF FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Department Name	Academic Year	Semester
B.Tech	**CSE**	**2018-2019**	**II**

1.How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
24	3	1	0	0

2.How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
25	2	1	0	0



3. How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
25	2	1	0	0



4. How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
26	1	1	0	0



5. How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
25	2	1	0	0



6. How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content ?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
25	2	1	0	0



7.How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
26	1	1	0	0



8. How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
23	3	2	0	0

9. How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
24	3	1	0	0



10. Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
25	2	1	0	0



RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)
NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

REPORT ON FACULTY FEEDBACK ANALYSIS ON CURRICULUM

Program Name:B.TECH	**Academic Year: 2018-2019**
Department Name:CSE	**Year/Semester:II**

1. How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that85.71 % of the faculty members rated excellent about the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies, 10.71% of the faculty members rated very good&3.57% of the faculty members rated it good.

2. How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 89.29% of the faculty members rated excellent about the availability resources to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively, % 7.14of the faculty members rated very good&3.57% of the faculty members rated it good.

3. How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 89.29% of the faculty members rated excellent about the engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions, 7.14% of the faculty members rated very good.

4. How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 92.86% of the faculty members rated excellent about the opportunities for professional development and training, 3.57% of the faculty members rated very good.

5. How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that89.29 % of the faculty members rated excellent about the support for faculty research, publications and conference attendance, 7.14% of the faculty members rated very good&3.57% of the faculty members rated it good.

6. How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 89.29% of the faculty members rated excellent about the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content,7.14 % of the faculty members rated very good &3.57% of the faculty members rated it good.

7. How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 92.86% of the faculty members rated excellent about the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college, 3.57% of the faculty members rated very good.

8. How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 82.14% of the faculty members rated excellent about the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence, 10.71% of the faculty members rated very good.

9. How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?
   From the analysis report, it was observed that 85.71% of the faculty members rated excellent about the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics, 10.71% of the faculty members rated very good &3.57% of the faculty members rated it good.

10. Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?

    From the analysis report, it was observed that 89.29% of the faculty members rated excellentabout the overall academic environment and performance of the college, 7.14% of the faculty members rated very good&3.57% of the faculty members rated it good.

COORDINATOR

HOD

EAD OF THE DEPARTMENT
Department of CSE
SE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group of
tutions,VALLUR,A.P.-523 272

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, Valluru 523 272

RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)
NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

ANALYSIS OF FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name: PG	**Academic Year: 2018-19**
Department Name: MBA	**Semester: IV**

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?	3	2	0	0	0
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?	3	2	0	0	0
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?	4	1	0	0	0
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?	3	2	0	0	0
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?	3	2	0	0	0
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?	3	2	0	0	0
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?	4	1	0	0	0
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?	4	1	0	0	0
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?	5	0	0	0	0
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?	3	2	0	0	0

P. Kusuma Kumari
HOD

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, Valluru 523 272

ANALYSIS OF FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Department Name	Academic Year	Semester
PG	MBA	2018-2019	IV

1.How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
3	2	0	0	0



2.How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
3	2	0	0	0



3. How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
4	1	0	0	0



4. How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
3	2	0	0	0



5. How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
3	2	0	0	0



6. How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content ?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
3	2	0	0	0



7.How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
4	1	0	0	0

8. How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
4	1	0	0	0



9. How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
5	0	0	0	0



10. Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
3	2	0	0	0



RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)
NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

REPORT ON FACULTY FEEDBACK ANALYSIS ON CURRICULUM

Program Name: PG	**Academic Year: 2018-2019**
Department Name: MBA	**Semester: IV**

1. How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 60.00 % of the faculty members rated excellent about the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies, 40.00% of the faculty members rated very good.

2. How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 60.00% of the faculty members rated excellent about the availability resources to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively, % 40.00of the faculty members rated very good.

3. How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 80.00% of the faculty members rated excellent about the engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions, 20.00% of the faculty members rated very good.

4. How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 60.00% of the faculty members rated excellent about the opportunities for professional development and training, 40.00% of the faculty members rated very good.

5. How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 60.00 % of the faculty members rated excellent about the support for faculty research, publications and conference attendance, 40.00% of the faculty members rated very good.

6. How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 60.00% of the faculty members rated excellent about the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content,40.00 % of the faculty members rated very good.

7. How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 80.00% of the faculty members rated excellent about the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college, 20.00% of the faculty members rated very good.

8. How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 80.00% of the faculty members rated excellent about the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence, 20.00% of the faculty members rated very good.

9. How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?
   From the analysis report, it was observed that 100.00% of the faculty members rated excellent about the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics.

10. Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?

    From the analysis report, it was observed that 60.00% of the faculty members rated excellent about the overall academic environment and performance of the college, 40.00% of the faculty members rated very good.

M. Sireesha
COORDINATOR

P. Kusuma Kumari
HOD

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Grou
of Institutions, Valluru 523 27