

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA) NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC) FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Name of the Faculty	Name of the Department
B. Tech	P. RATHSEKHAR.	S-2H'

Date of Feedback	Academic Year	Semester
28-09-2018	2018-2019	

S.No	Question	Excelle nt	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	If Average or poor the changes suggested
	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?		~				n - di e-rie .
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?						
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?		/				
1 /1	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?	<i>\</i>					
	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?		/				
	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?	/					
/	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?	~					
	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?		✓				
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?		/				
	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?	~					

Any other suggestions:

Date: 28 -09 - 2018 1

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, Valluru 523 27



(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA) NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC) FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Name of the Faculty	Name of the Department
B. Tech	A. Povikumay	S\$H·

Date of Feedback	Academic Year	Semester
28-09-2018	2018-19	I

S.No	Question	Excelle nt	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	If Average or poor the changes suggested
	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?		/				
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?						
	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?						
1 /	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?	/					
	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?		/				
	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?						
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?						
0	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?		/				
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?	3	/				
	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?						

Any other suggestions:

Date: 28 9 2018

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, Valluru 523 272

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA) NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P.

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC) FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Name of the Faculty	Name of the Department
BTech	Mrs. Chardra Rao	f ce
Date of Feedback	Academic Year	Competer
00110	2018-2019	Semester

S.No	Question	Excelle nt	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	If Average or poor the changes suggested
	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?			/		-	enanges suggested
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?	/					
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?						
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?						
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?						
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?						
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?			_			
0	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?		1				
	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?						
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?	/					

Any other suggestions: Kescarch Support

Signature of the Faculty

Date: 29 11 2018

RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group of Institutions, Valluru 523 272



(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA) NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC) FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Name of the Faculty	Name of the Department
B. tech	Dr. R. Sivakumar	FCF

Date of Feedback	Academic Year	Semester
29-11-2018	2018-2019	T

S.No	Question	Excelle nt	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	If Average or poor the changes suggested
	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?		/				emanges suggested
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?		/				
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?			✓			
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?			/			
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?						
	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?		/	-			
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?						
	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?	/					
	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?	/					
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?	/					

Any other suggestions: Conduct more FDP'S

Date: 29/11/2018

IQAC co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, Valluru 523 272



(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA) NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P.

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC) FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Name of the Faculty	Name of the Department
B. Tech	CH. SITA RAM	CSE

Date of Feedback	Academic Year	Semester
25/10/2018	2018-19	T

S.No		Excelle nt	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	If Average or poor the changes suggested
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?		✓				0 00
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?	~					
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?		/				
	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?	~					
	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?			✓			
	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?	\					
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?		/				
	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?	/					
,	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?		\				
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?		~				

Advised to students to register for MPTEL COURSES Any other suggestions: ...

Date: 25/10/2018

RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group of Institutions, Valluru 523 272



(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA) NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P.

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC) FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Name of the Faculty	Name of the Department
B Tech"	CH. Harikn'shna	CSE

Date of Feedback	Academic Year	Semester
25/10/2018	2018-19	T

S.No		Excelle nt	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	If Average or poor the changes suggested
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?		/				THE STATE OF THE S
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?						
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?						
	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?						
	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?						
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?						
	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?		~				
0	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?		_				
	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?						
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?						

Any other suggestions: Conducted workshops and cextificate programs associated with Industria...

Date: 25/10/2018

IQACCo-ordinator

Signature of the Signature of the Faculty

RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Grou of Institutions, Valluru 523 272



(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA) NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC) FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Name of the Faculty	Name of the Department
B. Tech	Rayu la Palli, Haventiva	FFF

Date of Feedback	Academic Year	Semester
29/11/2018	2018-2019	(B) = 1

S.No	Question	Excelle nt	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	If Average or poor the changes suggested
	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?						enanges suggested
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?	/					
	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?	5		-			
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?						
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?	1					
	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?						
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?						
	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?						
	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?						
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?	5			A Line		

Any other suggestions:

Date: 29/11/2018

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Grou
of Institutions, Valiuru 523 27



2011/2018

RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA) NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC) FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name B. tech	Name of the Faculty Konapati Snio Vas elu	Name of the Department
Date of Feedback	Academic Year	Semester

2018-2019

S.No	Question	Excelle nt	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	If Average or poor the changes suggested
-	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?					-	emanges suggested
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?						
	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?	~					
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?		/				
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?						
	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?		-				
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?						·
	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?						
	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?						
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?						

Any other suggestions:

Date: 29/11/18

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Groum
of Institutions, Valluru 523 272



(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA) NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC) FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Name of the Faculty	Name of the Department
BTech	Amnthasagaram Srikanth	Mechanical
Date of Feedback	Academic Year	Semester
29-11-2018	2018-2019	D

S.No		Excelle nt	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	If Average or poor the changes suggested
	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?	~					8 88
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?	~					
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?						
	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?	/					
J	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?	/					
0	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?						
	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?						
	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?		-				
	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?	V /					
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?						

Any other suggestions:

Date:

IQAC Co-ordinator RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group of Institutions, Valluru 523 27?

Aranthagagaian Snl Signature of the Faculty



(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA) NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC) FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Name of the Faculty	Name of the Department	
Btech "	Jogi Krishna	mechanical	

Date of Feedback	Academic Year	Semester
29-11-2018	2018-2019	

S.No		Excelle nt	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	If Average or poor the changes suggested
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?		/				
	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?		/				
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?						
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?						
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?						Marie Hall
0	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?						
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?						
	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?						
	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?						
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?						

Any other suggestions:

No comment ¡QAC co-ordinator

RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group of Institutions, Valluru 523 272

Signature of the Faculty

Date: 29 -11 - 208



(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA) NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC) FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Name of the Faculty	Name of the Department
P6 *	M. Sireesha	MBA

Date of Feedback	Academic Year	Semester
2/11/2018	2018-2019	Ш

S.No		Excelle nt	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	If Average or poor the changes suggested
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?		/				3 39
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?		~				
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?		~				
41	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?		/				
	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?		_				
	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?		/				
	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?		/				
	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?						
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?	~					
	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?		/				

Any other suggestions:

Date: 2/11/2018

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, Valluru 523 272

M. Signethands Signature of the Faculty



(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA) NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC) FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Name of the Faculty	Name of the Department			
PG *	G. sekharbabu	MBA			

Date of Foodland		
Date of Feedback	Academic Year	Semester
02/11/2018	2018 - 2019	1

S.No	Question	Excelle nt	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	If Average or poor the changes suggested
	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?						changes suggested
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?	~					
	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?	~					
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?						
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?						
	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?	V					
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?	~					
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?	~					
	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?	~					
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?	~					

Any other suggestions: ..

Date: 02/11/2018

IQAC Co-Strdinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Gre
of Institutions, Valluru 525



(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA) NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC) FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Name of the Faculty	Name of the Department
BTech	В. Аратпа	SVH

Date of Feedback	Academic Year	Semester
26-02-2019	2018 - 2019	

S.No		Excelle nt	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	If Average or poor the changes suggested
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?	/					
	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?		/				
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?	✓	-		i i		
/1	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?	✓					
	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?		/				
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?	\					
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?		~	_			
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?						
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?		<u></u>				
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?	/					

Any other suggestions:

Date: 26-02-2019

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, Valluru 523 272



(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA) NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P.

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC) FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Name of the Faculty	Name of the Department	
B. Tech	B. Mahendoa Reddy	SPH	

Date of Feedback	Academic Year	Semester
26 - 02 - 2019	2018-19	I

S.No	Question	Excelle nt	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	If Average or poor the changes suggested
	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?	/					
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?		✓	į			
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?		✓				
/1	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?	1					
	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?	/					
	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?		/				
/	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?	✓					
0	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?	V					
,	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?		√				
	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?	√					

Any other suggestions: Med Receach

related practices

Date: 26-02-2019

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, Valluru 523 272



(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA) NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC) FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Name of the Faculty	Name of the Department
BTECK	CH. Haniknishna	CIE

Date of Feedback	Academic Year	Semester
25/4/2019	2018-19	T

S.No		Excelle nt	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	If Average or poor the changes suggested
	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?			-			8. 88
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?	_					
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?					Y	
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?						
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?						
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?						
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?						
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?						
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?						
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?		~				

Any other suggestions:

Date: 25/4/2019

IQAC Co-ordinatos RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Grou of Institutions, Valleru 523 27.



(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA) NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC) FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Name of the Faculty	Name of the Department
BiTech	CH SITA RAM	CSF

Date of Feedback	Academic Year	Semester
25/4/2019	2018-19	TI

S.No	Q destion	Excelle nt	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	If Average or poor the changes suggested
	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?	~					omangos suggested
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?						
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?						
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?						
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?						
	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?						
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?						
	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?	/					
	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?			/			
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?						

Date: 25/4/2019.

RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group of Institutions, Valluru 523 272



(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA) NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC) FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name Bitech	Name of the Faculty DY. C. Jaya Kumay	Name of the Department
Date of Feedback	Academic Year	Semester

S.No	Question	Excelle nt	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	If Average or poor the
	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?		~				changes suggested
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?			/			
	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?						
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?		1				
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?		1				
· ·	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?		_				
7	collaboration and research within the college?	/					
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?	/					
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?						
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?		/				

Any other suggestions: Produstry interaction and more Visits are required.

Date: 31-05-2019

Date: Signature

Date: 31-05-2019

RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Grou of Institutions, Valluru 523 272

C. Taya kimer. Signature of the Faculty



(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA) NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P.

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC) FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Name of the Faculty	Name of the Department
B. Tech	Mr. p. Valluraiah	FCE

Date of Feedback	Academic Year	Semester
31/05/2019	2018-8019	T

S.No	Question	Excelle nt	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	If Average or poor the changes suggested
	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?			/			onanges suggested
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?		/				
	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?						
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?	/					
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?	/					
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?	/					
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?						
0	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?		/				
	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?		/				
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?	/					

Any other suggestions: Provide more Web resources (Florinal papers, Conference Papers)

Date: 31/05/2019

Date: 31/05/2019

Date: 31/05/2019

Date: 31/05/2019

RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group of Institutions, Valluru 523 272



(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA) NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC) FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Name of the Faculty	Name of the Department
B. Tech"	V.S.V. Chinnasiao	EFE

Date of Feedback	Academic Year	Semester	5
31-05-2019	2018-2019	<u> </u>	

S.No	Question	Excelle nt	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	If Average or poor the changes suggested
	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?		/				
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?		/				
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?	/					
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?		1	,			
3	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?		/				
0	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?	/					
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?	lan.	/				
	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?	/					
,	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?						
	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?	/					

Any other suggestions: .

Date: 31-05-2019

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, Valluru 523 272

S.V-S. Chinn Astoc Signature of the Faculty



(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA) NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC) FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Name of the Faculty	Name of the Department
B. Tech*	Kurapati · Svinivasulu	EEE

Date of Feedback	Academic Year	Semester		
31/05/2019	2018 - 2019	II.		

S.No	4	nt	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	If Average or poor the changes suggested
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?	/					8 88
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?	/					
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?	/					
	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?	/					•
	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?	/					
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?	/					
	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?						
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?	/					
	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?	~					
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?						

Any other suggestions: .

Date: 31 05 2019

IQA Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, Valluru 523 272



(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA) NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC) FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Name of the Faculty	Name of the Department
B-tech"	Kankata-Maruthitabu	Mechanical

Date of Feedback	Academic Year	22
31-05-9019	2018-2019	Semester
	2010 2019	

S.No	Question	Excelle nt	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	If Average or poor the changes suggested
	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?	V		45 45			changes suggested
	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?						
	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?						
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?	/					
	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?	/					
	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?						
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?	/					
	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?	/		7-71			
	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?	/					
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?						

Any other suggestions:

... IQAC Co-ordinator

Date: 31/05/2019

RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group of Institutions, Valluru 523 272



(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA) NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC) FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Name of the Faculty	Name of the Department
B Tech	Ganta Nagaraju	Mechanical
Date of Feedback	Academic Year	Semester
31-05-2019	2018 - 2019	- II

S.No	Queeno.	Excelle nt	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	If Average or poor the changes suggested
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?		~				.8
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?		~				
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?						
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?		/		-		
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?		/	*#-			
	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?						
	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?		✓				*
	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?		1				
	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?		/				
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?		1				

Any other suggestions:

Date: 31-05-2019

RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group of Institutions, Valleri Group



(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA) NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC) FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Name of the Faculty	Name of the Department	
PG *	sanisetty. Suresh babu	M·B·A	

Date of Feedback	Academic Year	Semester
25/3/2019	2018-2019	(V

S.No	Quosion	Excelle nt	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	If Average or poor the changes suggested
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?	/					enanges suggested
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?						
	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?	/					
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?	/					
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?	/					
	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?	/		,			
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?	/					
	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?	/					
	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?	/					
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?	/					

Any other suggestions:

Date: 25/3/2019

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Gromof Institutions, Valluru 523



(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA) NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC) FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Name of the Faculty	Name of the Department
17.64*	Appla. Kishone Babu.	M·B·A

Date of Feedback	Academic Year	Semester
25 3 209.	2018 - 2019	TV

S.No		Excelle nt	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	If Average or poor the changes suggested
	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?		V				onangos suggested
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?		~				
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?						
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?		~				
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?		1				
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?		V				1
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?		V				
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?	~					
	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?	V					
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?		V				

Any other suggestions:

Date: 25/3/2019.

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, Valluru 523 27/2

A. Kishone baby.
Signature of the Faculty



(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA) NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

ANALYSIS OF FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name: B.Tech
Academic Year: 2018-2019
Department Name: S&H
Year/Semester: I-I

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?	10	2	0	0	0
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?		1	0	0	0
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?	9	2	1	0	0
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?	8	2	2	0	0
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?	10	2	0	0	0
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?		1	0	0_	0
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?	1	1	1	0	0
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?	8	2	2	0	0
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?		3	0	0	0
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?	11	1	0	0	0

IQAC Co-ordinator of RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group of Institutions, Valluru 523 277

Dept Of S & H


RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group of Institutions, VALLURU, A.P.523 272

ANALYSIS OF FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Department Name	Academic Year	Semester
B.Tech	S&H	2018-2019	I-I


1. How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
10	2	0	0	0




2. How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
11	1	0	0	0




3. How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
9	2	1	0	0




4. How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
8	2	2	0	0




5. How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
10	2	0	0	0




6. How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
11	1	0	0	0




7. How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
10	1	1	0	0




8. How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
8	2	2	0	0




9. How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
9	3	0	0	0



10. Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?

Ì	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
Ì	11	1	0	0	0





(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA) NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

REPORT ON FACULTY FEEDBACK ANALYSIS ON CURRICULUM

Program Name:B.TECH	Academic Year: 2018-2019
Department Name:S&H	Year/Semester:I-I

1. How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 83.33 % of the faculty members rated excellent about the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies & 16.67% of the faculty members rated very good.

2. How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 91.67% of the faculty members rated excellent about the availability resources to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively & 8.33% of the faculty members rated very good.

3. How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 75.00% of the faculty members rated excellent about the engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions, 16.67% of the faculty members rated very good & 8.33% of the faculty members rated it good.

4. How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 66.67% of the faculty members rated excellent about the opportunities for professional development and training, 16.67% of the faculty members rated very good & 16.67% of the faculty members rated it good.

5. How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 83.33 % of the faculty members rated excellent about the support for faculty research, publications and conference attendance & 16.67% of the faculty members rated very good.

6. How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 91.67% of the faculty members rated excellent about the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content & 8.33 % of the faculty members rated very good.

7. How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 83.33% of the faculty members rated excellent about the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college, 8.33% of the faculty members rated very good & 8.33% of the faculty members rated it good.

8. How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 66.67% of the faculty members rated excellent about the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence, 16.67% of the faculty members rated very good & 16.67% of the faculty members rated it good.

- 9. How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?

 From the analysis report, it was observed that 75.00% of the faculty members rated excellent about the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics & 25.00% of the faculty members rated very good.
- 10. Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 91.67% of the faculty members rated excellent about the overall academic environment and performance of the college & 8.33% of the faculty members rated very good.

COORDINATOR

HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT

Dept Of S & H

RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group

of Institutions, VALLURU, A.P.523 272

IQAC Co-ordinator

RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group

of Institutions, Valluru 523 272



(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA) NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

ANALYSIS OF FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

ProgrammeName : B.Tech

Academic Year : 2018-2019

Department Name : Civil

Semester : I

Very S.No Good Question Average Poor Good Excellent How relevant do you find the current curriculum in 15 1 1 0 0 1 relation to industry standards and emerging technologies? How will you rate the availability of adequate resources 0 (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your 16 1 0 0 2 lectures and practical sessions effectively? How engaged and participative do you find the students 14 2 1 0 0 during your lectures and practical sessions? How will you rate the opportunities for professional 2 2 4 13 0 0 development and training? How would you rate the support for faculty research, 5 16 1 0 0 0 publications, and conference attendance? How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching 14 2 1 0 0 methods and course content? would How you rate the opportunities interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the 13 3 1 0 0 7 college? How would you rate the college's infrastructure and 14 2 1 0 8 0 facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence? How would you rate the support of the administrative 1 staff and management for the needs and concerns related 15 1 0 0 to academics? Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic 14 2 1 0 O environment and performance of the college?

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group


HOD
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT
Dept. of CTVIL Engineering
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, VALLURU, A.P.-523 272

ANALYSIS OF FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Department Name	Academic Year	Semester
B.Tech	Civil	2018-2019	I


1. How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
15	1	1	0	0




2. How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
16	1	0	0	0




3. How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
14	2	1	0	0




4. How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
13	2	2	0	0



5. How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
16	. 1	0	0	0




6. How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
14	2	1	0	0




7. How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
13	3	1	0	0



8. How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
14	2	1	0	0




9. How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
15	1	1	0	0



10. Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
14	2	1	0	0





(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA) NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

REPORT ON FACULTY FEEDBACK ANALYSIS ON CURRICULUM

Program Name:B.TECH	Academic Year: 2018-19
Department Name:Civil	Year/Semester : I

1. How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 88.24 % of the faculty members rated excellent about the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies, 5.88% of the faculty members rated very good&5.88% of the faculty members rated it good.

2. How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 94.12% of the faculty members rated excellent about the availability resources to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively, % 5.88of the faculty members rated very good.

3. How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 82.35% of the faculty members rated excellent about the engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions, 11.76% of the faculty members rated very good.

4. How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 76.47% of the faculty members rated excellent about the opportunities for professional development and training, 11.76% of the faculty members rated very good.

5. How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 94.12 % of the faculty members rated excellent about the support for faculty research, publications and conference attendance, 5.88% of the faculty members rated very good.

6. How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 82.35% of the faculty members rated excellent about the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content,11.76% of the faculty members rated very good &5.88% of the faculty members rated it good.

From the analysis report, it was observed that 76.47% of the faculty members rated excellent about the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college, 17.65% of the faculty members rated very good.

8. How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 82.35% of the faculty members rated excellent about the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence, 11.76% of the faculty members rated very good.

- 9. How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?

 From the analysis report, it was observed that 88.24% of the faculty members rated excellent about the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics, 5.88% of the faculty members rated very good &5.88% of the faculty members rated it
- 10. Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 82.35% of the faculty members rated excellentabout the overall academic environment and performance of the college, 11.76% of the faculty members rated very good&5.88% of the faculty members rated it good.

CO-ORDINATOR

good.

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, Valluru 523 272

HOD HODGE

HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT Dept. of CIVIL Fugineering RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group of Institutions, VALLURU, A.P.-523 272



(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA) NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

ANALYSIS OF FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name: B.Tech

Academic Year: 2018-19

Department Name: EEE

Semester: I

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?	2	1	0	0	0
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?		2	0	0	0
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?	3	0	0	0	0
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?	1	2	0	0	0
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?	1	2	0	0	0
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?	1	1	0	0	0
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?		1	0	0	0
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?	3	, 0	0	0	0
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?		0	0	0	0
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?	2"	1	0	0	0

of s


IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, Valluru 523 272

ANALYSIS OF FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Department Name	Academic Year	Semester
B.Tech	EEE	2018-2019	I


1. How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
2	1	0	0	0




2. How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	2	0	0	0




3. How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
3	0	0	0	0




4. How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	2	0	0	0




5. How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	2	0	0	0




6. How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
2	1	0	0	0




Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
2	1	0	0	0




8. How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
3	0	0	0	0




9. How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
3	0	0	0	0



10. Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
2	1	0	0	0





(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA) NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

REPORT ON FACULTY FEEDBACK ANALYSIS ON CURRICULUM

Program Name: B.TECH	Academic Year: 2018-2019
Department Name: EEE	Year/Semester: I

1. How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 66.67 % of the faculty members rated excellent about the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies, 33.33% of the faculty members rated very good.

2. How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 33.33% of the faculty members rated excellent about the availability resources to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively, % 66.67 of the faculty members rated very.

3. How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 100.00% of the faculty members rated excellent about the engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions.

4. How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 33.33% of the faculty members rated excellent about the opportunities for professional development and training, 66.67% of the faculty members rated very good.

5. How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 33.33 % of the faculty members rated excellent about the support for faculty research, publications and conference attendance, 66.67% of the faculty members rated very good.

6. How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 66.67% of the faculty members rated excellent about the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content,33.33 % of the faculty members rated very good.

From the analysis report, it was observed that 66.67% of the faculty members rated excellent about the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college, 33.33% of the faculty members rated very good.

8. How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 100.00% of the faculty members rated excellent about the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence.

- 9. How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?
 From the analysis report, it was observed that 100.00% of the faculty members rated excellent about the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics.
- 10. Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 66.67% of the faculty members rated excellent about the overall academic environment and performance of the college, 33.33% of the faculty members rated very good.

Rhaverdya COORDINATOR

HOD

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
Institutions, Vallette 523 272



(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA) NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

ANALYSIS OF FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name: B.Tech

Department Name: MECHANICAL

Semester: I

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?		2	0	0	0
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?		2	0	0	0
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?	3	3	0	0	0
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?	5	1	0	0	0
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?	4	2	0	0	0
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?		2	0	0	0
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?		1	0	0	0
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?	4	2	0	0	0
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?		1	0	0	0
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?	4	2	0	0	0

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, Valluru 523


K. N. Karyer

ANALYSIS OF FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Department Name	Academic Year	Semester
B.Tech	MECHANICAL	2018-2019	I


1. How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
4	2	0	0	0




2. How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
4	2	0	0	0




3. How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
3	3	0	0	0




4. How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
5	1	0	0	0




5. How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
4	2	0	0	0




6. How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
4	2	0	0	0




Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
5	1	0	0	0




8. How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
4	2	0	0	0




9. How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
5	1	0	0	0



10. Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
4	2	0	0	0





(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA) NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

REPORT ON FACULTY FEEDBACK ANALYSIS ON CURRICULUM

Program Name: B.TECH	Academic Year: 2018-2019
Department Name: Mechanical	Year/Semester: I

1. How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 66.67 % of the faculty members rated excellent about the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies, 33.33% of the faculty members rated very good.

2. How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 66.67% of the faculty members rated excellent about the availability resources to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively, % 33.33 of the faculty members rated very good.

3. How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 50.00% of the faculty members rated excellent about the engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions, 50.00% of the faculty members rated very good.

4. How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 83.33% of the faculty members rated excellent about the opportunities for professional development and training, 16.67% of the faculty members rated very good.

5. How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 66.67 % of the faculty members rated excellent about the support for faculty research, publications and conference attendance, 33.33% of the faculty members rated very good.

6. How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 66.67% of the faculty members rated excellent about the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content,33.33 % of the faculty members rated very good.

From the analysis report, it was observed that 83.33% of the faculty members rated excellent about the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college, 16.67% of the faculty members rated very good.

8. How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 66.67% of the faculty members rated excellent about the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence, 33.33% of the faculty members rated very good.

- 9. How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?
 - From the analysis report, it was observed that 83.33% of the faculty members rated excellent about the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics, 16.67% of the faculty members rated very good.
- 10. Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 66.67% of the faculty members rated excellent about the overall academic environment and performance of the college, 33.33% of the faculty members rated very good.

K. Han babu

COORDINATOR

1c.N. Carryer

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, Valluru 523 272



(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA) NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

ANALYSIS OF FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name: B.Tech

Academic Year: 2018-2019

Department Name: ECE

Semester: I

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?	19	3	1	0	0
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?		2	0	0	0
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?	20	2	1	0	0
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?	21	1	1	0	0
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?	20	2	1	0	0
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?		1	1	0	0
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?	1	2	0	0	0
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?	22	1	0	0	0
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?		1	1 /	.0	0
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?	22	1	0	0	0

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, Valluru 523 272

s.v. obni &


PIEAD OF THE DEPART (215)
Department of E.C. L.
TISE You have Sai Ganghi Gisup
of Institutions, Wallull RV, A.P. 523 272

ANALYSIS OF FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Department Name	Academic Year	Semester
B.Tech	ECE	2018-2019	I


1. How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
19	3	1	0	0




2. How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
21	2	0	0	0




3. How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
20	2	1	0	0




4. How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
21	1	1	0	0



5. How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
20	2	1	0	0




6. How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
21	1	1	0	0




Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
21	2	0	0	0



8. How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
22		0	0	0



9. How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
21		1	0	0



10. Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
22	1	0	0	0





(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA) NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

REPORT ON FACULTY FEEDBACK ANALYSIS ON CURRICULUM

Program Name: B.TECH	Academic Year: 2018-2019
Department Name: ECE	Year/Semester: I

1. How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 82.61 % of the faculty members rated excellent about the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies, 13.04% of the faculty members rated very good & 4.35% of the faculty members rated it good.

2. How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 91.30% of the faculty members rated excellent about the availability resources to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively, % 8.70 of the faculty members rated very good.

3. How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 86.96% of the faculty members rated excellent about the engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions, 8.70% of the faculty members rated very good & 4.35% of the faculty members rated it good.

4. How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 91.30% of the faculty members rated excellent about the opportunities for professional development and training, 4.35% of the faculty members rated very good & 4.35% of the faculty members rated it good.

5. How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 86.96 % of the faculty members rated excellent about the support for faculty research, publications and conference attendance, 8.70% of the faculty members rated very good & 4.35% of the faculty members rated it good.

6. How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 91.30% of the faculty members rated excellent about the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content,4.35 % of the faculty members rated very good & 4.35% of the faculty members rated it good.

From the analysis report, it was observed that 91.30% of the faculty members rated excellent about the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college, 8.70% of the faculty members rated very good.

8. How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 95.65% of the faculty members rated excellent about the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence, 4.35% of the faculty members rated very good.

- 9. How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?

 From the analysis report, it was observed that 91.30% of the faculty members rated excellent about the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics, 4.35% of the faculty members rated very good & 4.35% of the faculty members rated it good.
- 10. Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 95.65% of the faculty members rated excellent about the overall academic environment and performance of the college, 4.35% of the faculty members rated very good.

COORDINATOR

IQAC Co-ordinator

RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, Valluru 523 272

S.V. Appri &

HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT
Department of E.C.F.
RISE Kriches Sti Cand



(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA) NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

ANALYSIS OF FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

ProgrammeName:B.Tech	Academic Year:2018-2019
Department Name:CSE	Semester:I

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?	24	2	2	0	0
D	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?		2	1	0	0
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?	26	1	1	0	0
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?	25	2	1	0	0
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?	24	3	1	0	0
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?		2	1	0	0
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?		3	1	0	0
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?	23	3	2	0	0
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?		3	1	0	0
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?	25	2	1	0	0

HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT
Department of CSE
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group of
Institutions, VALLUR, A.P.-523 272




ANALYSIS OF FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Department Name	Academic Year	Semester
B.Tech	CSE	2018-2019	I


1. How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
24	2	2	0	0




2. How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
25	2	1	0	0




3. How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
26	1	1	0	0




4. How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
25	2	1	0	0




5. How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
24	3	1	0	0




6. How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
25	2	1	0	0




Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
24	3	1	0	0




8. How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
23	3	2	0	0




9. How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
24	3	1	0	0



10. Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
25	2	1	0	0





(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA) NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

REPORT ON FACULTY FEEDBACK ANALYSIS ON CURRICULUM

Program Name:B.TECH	Academic Year: 2018-2019
Department Name: CSE	Year/Semester:I

1. How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 85.71 % of the faculty members rated excellent about the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies, 7.14% of the faculty members rated very good & 7.14% of the faculty members rated it good.

2. How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 89.29% of the faculty members rated excellent about the availability resources to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively, % 7.14of the faculty members rated very good&3.57% of the faculty members rated it good.

3. How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 92.86% of the faculty members rated excellent about the engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions, 3.57% of the faculty members rated very good.

4. How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 89.29% of the faculty members rated excellent about the opportunities for professional development and training, 7.14% of the faculty members rated very good.

5. How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 85.71 % of the faculty members rated excellent about the support for faculty research, publications and conference attendance, 10.71% of the faculty members rated very good & 3.57% of the faculty members rated it good.

6. How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 89.29% of the faculty members rated excellent about the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content,7.14 % of the faculty members rated very good &3.57% of the faculty members rated it good.

From the analysis report, it was observed that 85.71% of the faculty members rated excellent about the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college, 10.71% of the faculty members rated very good.

8. How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 82.14% of the faculty members rated excellent about the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence, 10.71% of the faculty members rated very good.

- 9. How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?

 From the analysis report, it was observed that 85.71% of the faculty members rated excellent about the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics, 10.71% of the faculty members rated very good &3.57% of the faculty members rated it good.
- 10. Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 89.29% of the faculty members rated excellentabout the overall academic environment and performance of the college, 7.14% of the faculty members rated very good&3.57% of the faculty members rated it good.

COORDINATOR

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, Valluru 523 272

HOD

EAD OF THE DEPARTMENT
Department of CSE

NSE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group of itutions, VALLUR, A.P.-523 27?

6



(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA) NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

ANALYSIS OF FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name: PG Academic Year: 2018-19
Department Name: MBA Semester: III

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?	4	1	0	0	0
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?		2	0	0	0
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?	3	2	0	0	0
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?	2	3	0	0	0
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?	3	2	0	0	0
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?		2	0	0	0
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?		1	0	0	0
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?	4	1	0	0	0
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?		0	0	0	0
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?	4	1	0	0	0

IQAC Co-ordinator

RISE Krishna Sai Candhi Groun

of Institution


P. Kusuma Kumasi HOD

ANALYSIS OF FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Department Name	Academic Year	Semester
PG	MBA	2018-2019	III


1. How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
4	1	0	0	0




2. How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
3	2	0	0	0




3. How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
3	2	0	0	0




4. How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
2	3	0	0	0




5. How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
3	2	0	0	0




6. How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
3	2	0	0	0




Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
4	1	0	0	0



8. How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
4	1	0	0	0




9. How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
5	0	0	0	0



10. Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
4	1	0	0	0





(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA) NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

REPORT ON FACULTY FEEDBACK ANALYSIS ON CURRICULUM

Program Name: PG	Academic Year: 2018-2019
Department Name: MBA	Semester: III

1. How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 80.00 % of the faculty members rated excellent about the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies, 20.00% of the faculty members rated very good.

2. How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 60.00% of the faculty members rated excellent about the availability resources to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively, % 40.00 of the faculty members rated very good.

3. How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 60.00% of the faculty members rated excellent about the engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions, 40.00% of the faculty members rated very good.

4. How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 40.00% of the faculty members rated excellent about the opportunities for professional development and training, 60.00% of the faculty members rated very good.

5. How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 60.00 % of the faculty members rated excellent about the support for faculty research, publications and conference attendance, 40.00% of the faculty members rated very good.

6. How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 60.00% of the faculty members rated excellent about the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content,40.00% of the faculty members rated very good.

From the analysis report, it was observed that 80.00% of the faculty members rated excellent about the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college, 20.00% of the faculty members rated very good.

8. How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 80.00% of the faculty members rated excellent about the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence, 20.00% of the faculty members rated very good.

- 9. How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?
 From the analysis report, it was observed that 100.00% of the faculty members rated excellent about the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics.
- 10. Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 80.00% of the faculty members rated excellent about the overall academic environment and performance of the college, 20.00% of the faculty members rated very good.

M. Soreth

IQAC Co-ordinato:
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Gro.
of Institutions, Valluru 523 4/2

P. KUSUMA KUMATI



(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA) NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

ANALYSIS OF FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name: B.Tech

Academic Year: 2018-2019

Department Name: S&H

Year/Semester: I-II

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?		1	0	0	0
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?		3	1	0	0
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?	9	2	1	0	0
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?	10	2	0	0	0
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?	9	2	1	0	0
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?		3	0	0	0
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?		2	2	0	0
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?	12	0	0	0	0
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?		2	1	0	0
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?	10	1	1	0	0

HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT
Dept Of S & H

RISE Krishna Sal Gandhi Group of Institutions, VALLURU, A.P. 523 272


IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, Valluru 523 272

ANALYSIS OF FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Department Name	Academic Year	Semester
B.Tech	S&H	2018-2019	I-II


1. How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
11	1	0	0	0




2. How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
8	3	1	0	0




3. How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
9	2	1	0	0




4. How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
10	2	0	0	0



5. How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
9	2	1	0	0




6. How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
9	3	0	0	0




Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
8	2	2	0	0




8. How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
12	0	0	0	0




9. How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
9	2	1	0	0



10. Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
10	1	1	0	0





(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA) NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

REPORT ON FACULTY FEEDBACK ANALYSIS ON CURRICULUM

Program Name:B.TECH	Academic Year: 2018-2019
Department Name:S&H	Year/Semester:I-II

1. How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 91.67 % of the faculty members rated excellent about the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies & 8.33% of the faculty members rated very good.

2. How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 66.67% of the faculty members rated excellent about the availability resources to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively,25.00% of the faculty members rated very good & 8.33% of the faculty members rated it good.

3. How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 75.00% of the faculty members rated excellent about the engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions, 16.67% of the faculty members rated very good & 8.33% of the faculty members rated it good.

4. How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 83.33% of the faculty members rated excellent about the opportunities for professional development and training, 16.67% of the faculty members rated very good.

5. How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 75.00 % of the faculty members rated excellent about the support for faculty research, publications and conference attendance, 16.67% of the faculty members rated very good & 8.33% of the faculty members rated it good.

6. How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 75.00% of the faculty members rated excellent about the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content & 25.00 % of the faculty members rated very good.

From the analysis report, it was observed that 66.67% of the faculty members rated excellent about the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college, 16.67% of the faculty members rated very good & 16.67% of the faculty members rated it good.

8. How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 100.00% of the faculty members rated excellent about the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence.

- 9. How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?
 - From the analysis report, it was observed that 75.00% of the faculty members rated excellent about the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics, 16.67% of the faculty members rated very good & 8.33% of the faculty members rated it good.
- 10. Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 83.33% of the faculty members rated excellentabout the overall academic environment and performance of the college, 8.33% of the faculty members rated very good & 8.33% of the faculty members rated it good.

of Institutions, Valluru 523 273

COORDINATOR

Dept Of S & H

RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, VALLURU, A.P.523 272



(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA) NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

ANALYSIS OF FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

ProgrammeName:B.Tech	Academic Year:2018-2019
Department Name:Civil	Semester:II

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?	14	2	1	0	0
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?		1	1	0	0
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?	15	1	1	0	0
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?	13	2	2	0	0
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?	16	1	0	0	0
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?		2	2	0	0
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?	and the second second	3	1	0	0
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?	14	2	1	0	0
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?		1	1	0	0
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?	15	1	1	0	0

Be.

TQAC Co-ordinator

TISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Groups

of Institutions, Valluru 523 272


HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT Dept. of CIVIL Engineering RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group of Institutions VALLES

ANALYSIS OF FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Department Name	Academic Year	Semester
B.Tech	Civil	2018-2019	II


1. How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
14	2	1	0	0




2. How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
15	1	1	0	0




3. How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
15	1	1	0	0




4. How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
13	2	2	0	0




5. How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
16		0	0	0




6. How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
13	2	2	0	0




Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
13	3	1	0	0




8. How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
14	2	1	0	0




9. How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
15	1	1	0	0



10. Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
15	1	1	0	0





(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA) NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

REPORT ON FACULTY FEEDBACK ANALYSIS ON CURRICULUM

Program Name:B.TECH	Academic Year: 2018-19
Department Name:Civil	Year/Semester : II

1. How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 82.35 % of the faculty members rated excellent about the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies, 11.76% of the faculty members rated very good&5.88% of the faculty members rated it good.

2. How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 88.24% of the faculty members rated excellent about the availability resources to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively, % 5.88of the faculty members rated very good&5.88% of the faculty members rated it good.

3. How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 88.24% of the faculty members rated excellent about the engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions, 5.88% of the faculty members rated very good.

4. How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 76.47% of the faculty members rated excellent about the opportunities for professional development and training, 11.76% of the faculty members rated very good.

5. How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 94.12 % of the faculty members rated excellent about the support for faculty research, publications and conference attendance, 5.88% of the faculty members rated very good.

6. How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 76.47% of the faculty members rated excellent about the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content,11.76 % of the faculty members rated very good &11.76% of the faculty members rated it good.

From the analysis report, it was observed that 76.47% of the faculty members rated excellent about the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college, 17.65% of the faculty members rated very good.

8. How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 82.35% of the faculty members rated excellent about the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence, 11.76% of the faculty members rated very good.

- 9. How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?

 From the analysis report, it was observed that 88.24% of the faculty members rated excellent about the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics, 5.88% of the faculty members rated very good &5.88% of the faculty members rated it good.
- 10. Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 88.24% of the faculty members rated excellentabout the overall academic environment and performance of the college, 5.88% of the faculty members rated very good&5.88% of the faculty members rated it good.

CO-ORDINATOR

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, Valluru 523 272

HEAD OF THE DEFARIMENT Dept. of CIVIL Engineering RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group of Institutions, VALLURU, A.P.-523 27%



(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA) NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

ANALYSIS OF FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name: B.Tech

Department Name: EEE

Academic Year: 2018-19

Semester: II

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?	2	1	0	0	0
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?		2	0	0	0
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?	3	0	0	0	0
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?	2	1	0	0	0
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?	1	2	0	0	0
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?		0	0	0	0
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?		1	0	0	0
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?	3	0	0	0	0
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?	3	0	0	0	0
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?	3	0	0	0	0

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, Valluru 523 272


HISD

ANALYSIS OF FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Department Name	Academic Year	Semester
B.Tech	EEE	2018-2019	П


1. How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
2	1	0	0	0




2. How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	2	0	0	0




3. How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
3	0	0	0	0




4. How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
2	1	0	0	0




5. How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	2	0	0	0




6. How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
3	0	0	0	0




Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
2	1	0	0	0




8. How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
3	0	0	0	0




9. How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
3	0	0	0	0



10. Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
3	0	0	0	0





(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA) NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

REPORT ON FACULTY FEEDBACK ANALYSIS ON CURRICULUM

Program Name: B.TECH	Academic Year: 2018-2019
Department Name: EEE	Year/Semester: II

1. How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 66.67 % of the faculty members rated excellent about the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies, 33.33% of the faculty members rated very good.

2. How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 33.33% of the faculty members rated excellent about the availability resources to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively, % 66.67 of the faculty members rated very good.

3. How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 100.00% of the faculty members rated excellent about the engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions

4. How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 66.67% of the faculty members rated excellent about the opportunities for professional development and training, 33.33% of the faculty members rated very good.

5. How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 33.33 % of the faculty members rated excellent about the support for faculty research, publications and conference attendance, 66.67% of the faculty members rated very good.

6. How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 100.00% of the faculty members rated excellent about the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content.

From the analysis report, it was observed that 66.67% of the faculty members rated excellent about the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college, 33.33% of the faculty members rated very good.

8. How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 100.00% of the faculty members rated excellent about the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence.

- 9. How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?
 - From the analysis report, it was observed that 100.00% of the faculty members rated excellent about the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics.
- 10. Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 100.00% of the faculty members rated excellent about the overall academic environment and performance of the college.

R. havendya COORDINATOR

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, Valluru 523 272

HOD



(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA) NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

ANALYSIS OF FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name: B.Tech

Academic Year: 2018-19

Department Name: MECHANICAL

Semester: II

S.No		Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?	3	3	0	0	0
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?	4	2	0	0	0
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?	5	1	0	0	0
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?	4	2	0	0	0
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?	4	2	0	0	0
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?	4	2	0	0	0
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?	4	2	0	0	0
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?	4	2	0	0	0
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?	5	1	0	0	0
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?	5	1	0	0	0

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, Valluru 523 272


k.N. Karynf

ANALYSIS OF FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Department Name	Academic Year	Semester
B.Tech	MECHANICAL	2018-2019	II


1. How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
3	3	0	0	0




2. How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?

Ex	cellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
	4	2	0	0	0




3. How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
5	1	0	0	0




4. How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
4	2	0	0	0




5. How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
4	2	0	0	0




6. How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
4	2	0	0	0




Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
4	2	0	0	0




8. How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
4	2	0	0	0




9. How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
5	1	0	0	0



10. Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
5	1	0	0	0





(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA) NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

REPORT ON FACULTY FEEDBACK ANALYSIS ON CURRICULUM

Program Name: B.TECH	Academic Year: 2018-2019
Department Name: Mechanical	Year/Semester: II

1. How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 50.00 % of the faculty members rated excellent about the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies, 50.00% of the faculty members rated very good.

2. How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 66.67% of the faculty members rated excellent about the availability resources to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively, % 33.33 of the faculty members rated very good.

3. How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 83.33% of the faculty members rated excellent about the engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions, 16.67% of the faculty members rated very good.

4. How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 66.67% of the faculty members rated excellent about the opportunities for professional development and training, 33.33% of the faculty members rated very good.

5. How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 66.67 % of the faculty members rated excellent about the support for faculty research, publications and conference attendance, 33.33% of the faculty members rated very good.

6. How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 66.67% of the faculty members rated excellent about the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content,33.33 % of the faculty members rated very good.

From the analysis report, it was observed that 66.67% of the faculty members rated excellent about the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college, 33.33% of the faculty members rated very good.

8. How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 66.67% of the faculty members rated excellent about the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence, 33.33% of the faculty members rated very good.

- 9. How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?
 - From the analysis report, it was observed that 83.33% of the faculty members rated excellent about the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics, 16.67% of the faculty members rated very good.
- 10. Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 83.33% of the faculty members rated excellent about the overall academic environment and performance of the college, 16.67% of the faculty members rated very good.

K. Hanbabu COORDINATOR

IQAC Co-ordinator
PISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, Vallum: 523 272

K. N. Yearry



(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA) NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

ANALYSIS OF FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name: B.Tech

Academic Year: 2018-2019

Department Name: ECE

Semester: II

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?		2	1	0	0
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?		1	1	0	0
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?	20	3	0	0	0
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?	21	2	0	0	0
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research publications, and conference attendance?	20	2	-1	0	0
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?	g 21	1	1	0	0
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?	-1	2	0	0	0
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?	22	1	0	0	0
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?		1	1	0	0
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?	21	1	1	0	0

IQAC Co-ordinator

RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group

of Institutions, Valluru 523 272

HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT Department of E.C.E


RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, VALLURU, A.P.-523 272

ANALYSIS OF FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Department Name	Academic Year	Semester
B.Tech	ECE	2018-2019	II


1. How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
20	2	1	0	0




2. How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
21	1	1	0	0




3. How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
20	3	0	0	0




4. How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
21	2	0	0	0




5. How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
20	2	1	0	0




6. How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
21	1	1	0	0




Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
21	2	0	0	0




8. How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
22	1	0	0	0



9. How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
21	1	1	0	0



10. Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
21	1	1	0	0





(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA) NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

REPORT ON FACULTY FEEDBACK ANALYSIS ON CURRICULUM

Program Name: B.TECH	Academic Year: 2018-2019	
Department Name: ECE	Year/Semester: II	

1. How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 86.96 % of the faculty members rated excellent about the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies, 8.70% of the faculty members rated very good & 4.35% of the faculty members rated it good.

2. How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 91.30% of the faculty members rated excellent about the availability resources to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively, % 4.35 of the faculty members rated very good & 4.35% of the faculty members rated it good.

3. How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 86.96% of the faculty members rated excellent about the engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions, 13.04% of the faculty members rated very good.

4. How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 91.30% of the faculty members rated excellent about the opportunities for professional development and training, 8.70% of the faculty members rated very good.

5. How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 86.96 % of the faculty members rated excellent about the support for faculty research, publications and conference attendance, 8.70% of the faculty members rated very good & 4.35% of the faculty members rated it good.

6. How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 91.30% of the faculty members rated excellent about the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content,4.35% of the faculty members rated very good & 4.35% of the faculty members rated it good.

From the analysis report, it was observed that 91.30% of the faculty members rated excellent about the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college, 8.70% of the faculty members rated very good.

8. How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 95.65% of the faculty members rated excellent about the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence, 4.35% of the faculty members rated very good.

- 9. How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?
 - From the analysis report, it was observed that 91.30% of the faculty members rated excellent about the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics, 4.35% of the faculty members rated very good & 4.35% of the faculty members rated it good.
- 10. Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 91.30% of the faculty members rated excellent about the overall academic environment and performance of the college, 4.35% of the faculty members rated very good & 4.35% of the faculty members rated it good.

COORDINATOR

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, Valluru 523

HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT Department of E.C.E. RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group of Institutions, VALLURU, A.P.-523 272



(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA) NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

ANALYSIS OF FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

ProgrammeName:B.Tech	Academic Year:2018-2019
Department Name:CSE	Semester:II

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?		3	1	0	0
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?		2	1	0	0
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?	25	2	1	0	0
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?	26	1	1	0	0
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?	25	2	1	0	0
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?	1	2	1	0	0
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?		1	1	0	0
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?	23	3	2	0	0
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?		3	1	0	0
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?	25	2	1	0	0

Department of CSE
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group of
enstitutions, VALLUR, A.P.-523 272


IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, Valluru 523 272

ANALYSIS OF FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Department Name	Academic Year	Semester
B.Tech	CSE	2018-2019	II


1. How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
24	3	1	0	0




2. How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
25	2	1	0	0




3. How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
25	2	1	0	0



4. How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
26	1	1	0	0



5. How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
25	2	1	0	0




6. How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
25	2	1	0	0




Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
26	1	1	0	0




8. How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
23	3	2	0	0




9. How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
24	3	1	0	0



10. Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
25	2	1	0	0





(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA) NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

REPORT ON FACULTY FEEDBACK ANALYSIS ON CURRICULUM

Program Name:B.TECH	Academic Year: 2018-2019
Department Name:CSE	Year/Semester:II

1. How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 85.71 % of the faculty members rated excellent about the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies, 10.71% of the faculty members rated very good & 3.57% of the faculty members rated it good.

2. How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 89.29% of the faculty members rated excellent about the availability resources to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively, % 7.14of the faculty members rated very good&3.57% of the faculty members rated it good.

3. How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 89.29% of the faculty members rated excellent about the engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions, 7.14% of the faculty members rated very good.

4. How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 92.86% of the faculty members rated excellent about the opportunities for professional development and training, 3.57% of the faculty members rated very good.

5. How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 89.29 % of the faculty members rated excellent about the support for faculty research, publications and conference attendance, 7.14% of the faculty members rated very good&3.57% of the faculty members rated it good.

6. How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 89.29% of the faculty members rated excellent about the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content,7.14% of the faculty members rated very good &3.57% of the faculty members rated it good.

From the analysis report, it was observed that 92.86% of the faculty members rated excellent about the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college, 3.57% of the faculty members rated very good.

8. How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 82.14% of the faculty members rated excellent about the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence, 10.71% of the faculty members rated very good.

- 9. How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?
 - From the analysis report, it was observed that 85.71% of the faculty members rated excellent about the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics, 10.71% of the faculty members rated very good &3.57% of the faculty members rated it good.
- 10. Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 89.29% of the faculty members rated excellentabout the overall academic environment and performance of the college, 7.14% of the faculty members rated very good&3.57% of the faculty members rated it good.

COORDINATOR

Department of CSE

SE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group of

cutions, VALLUR, A.P.-523 272

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, Valturu 523 272



(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA) NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

ANALYSIS OF FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name: PG Academic Year: 2018-19

Department Name: MBA Semester: IV

S.No		Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?	3	2	0	0	0
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?		2	0	0	0
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?	4	1	0	0	0
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?	3	2	0	0	0
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?	3	2	0	0	0
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?		2	0	0	0
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?		1	0	0	0
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?	4	1	0	0	0
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?		0	0	0	0
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?	3	2	0	0	0

P.KUSUMA KUMAYI HOD


IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, Valluru 523 272

ANALYSIS OF FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Department Name	Academic Year	Semester
PG	MBA	2018-2019	IV


1. How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
3	2	0	0	0




2. How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
3	2	0	0	0




3. How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
4	1	0	0	0




4. How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Роог
3	2	0	0	0




5. How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
3	2	0	0	0




6. How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
3	2	0	0	0




Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
4	1	0	0	0




8. How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
4	1	0	0	0




9. How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
5	0	0	0	0



10. Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
3	2	0	0	0





(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA) NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

REPORT ON FACULTY FEEDBACK ANALYSIS ON CURRICULUM

Academic Year: 2018-2019	
Semester: IV	

1. How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 60.00 % of the faculty members rated excellent about the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies, 40.00% of the faculty members rated very good.

2. How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 60.00% of the faculty members rated excellent about the availability resources to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively, % 40.00 of the faculty members rated very good.

3. How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 80.00% of the faculty members rated excellent about the engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions, 20.00% of the faculty members rated very good.

4. How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 60.00% of the faculty members rated excellent about the opportunities for professional development and training, 40.00% of the faculty members rated very good.

5. How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 60.00 % of the faculty members rated excellent about the support for faculty research, publications and conference attendance, 40.00% of the faculty members rated very good.

6. How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 60.00% of the faculty members rated excellent about the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content,40.00 % of the faculty members rated very good.

From the analysis report, it was observed that 80.00% of the faculty members rated excellent about the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college, 20.00% of the faculty members rated very good.

8. How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 80.00% of the faculty members rated excellent about the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence, 20.00% of the faculty members rated very good.

- 9. How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?
 - From the analysis report, it was observed that 100.00% of the faculty members rated excellent about the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics.
- 10. Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 60.00% of the faculty members rated excellent about the overall academic environment and performance of the college, 40.00% of the faculty members rated very good.

M. Suche COORDINATOR

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Grou:
of Institutions, Valluru 523 272

P. Kusuma Kuman; HOD