RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)

NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)
FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Name of the Faculty	Name of the Department
B.Tech	D. Santhi	S&H

Date of Feedback	Academic Year	Semester
12-12-2019	2019-2020	I

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	If Average or poor the changes suggested
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?		✓				
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?	✓					
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?		✓				
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?	✓					
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?	✓					
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?	✓					
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?	✓					
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?		✓				
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?		✓				
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?	✓					

Any other suggestions: .....

Date: 12-12-2019

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, Valluru 523 272

Signature of the Faculty

RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)

NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)
FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Name of the Faculty	Name of the Department
B.Tech	P.SRIDEVI	S&H

Date of Feedback	Academic Year	Semester
12-12-2019	2019-2020	I

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	If Average or poor the changes suggested
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?		✓				
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?	✓					
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?		✓				
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?	✓					
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?		✓				
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?	✓					
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?	✓					
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?		✓				
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?	✓					
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?		✓				

Any other suggestions: Please digital boards

Date: 12-12-2019

IQAC Co-Ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, Valluru 523 272

Signature of the Faculty

RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)
NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)
FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Name of the Faculty	Name of the Department
B.Tech	A. Narasimha Reddy	ECE

Date of Feedback	Academic Year	Semester
30-11-2019	2019-2020	I

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	If Average or poor the changes suggested
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?			✓			
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?		✓				
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?		✓				
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?		✓				
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?			✓			
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?	✓					
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?	✓					
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?		✓				
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?		✓				
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?		✓				

Any other suggestions: ....Publication Funding Support needed.....

Date: 30-11-2019

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, Valluru 523 272

A.N.P.
Signature of the Faculty

RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)
NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)
FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Name of the Faculty	Name of the Department
B.Tech	SK. Bajidvali	ECE

Date of Feedback	Academic Year	Semester
30-11-19	2019 - 2020	I

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	If Average or poor the changes suggested
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?		✓				
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?	✓					
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?	✓					
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?	✓					
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?		✓				
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?		✓				
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?		✓				
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?	✓					
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?	✓					
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?	✓					

Any other suggestions: conducting work shops have to improve

Date: 30-11-19

IQAC Coordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, Valluru 523 272

SK. Bajidvali
Signature of the Faculty

RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)
NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)
FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Name of the Faculty	Name of the Department
BTECH	Dr. J. Vanitha Vani	CSE

Date of Feedback	Academic Year	Semester
26/11/2019	2019 - 2020	I

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	If Average or poor the changes suggested
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?	✓					
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?		✓				
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?	✓					
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?	✓					
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?		✓				
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?	✓					
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?		✓				
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?	✓					
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?	✓					
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?		✓				

Any other suggestions: Nil

Date: 26/11/2019

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, Valluru 523 272

J. Vanitha Vani
Signature of the Faculty

RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)
NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)
FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Name of the Faculty	Name of the Department
BTech	CH. Harikrishna	CSE

Date of Feedback	Academic Year	Semester
26/11/2019	2019-20	I

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	If Average or poor the changes suggested
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?		✓				
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?	✓					
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?		✓				
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?		✓				
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?	✓					
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?		✓				
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?	✓					
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?		✓				
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?	✓					
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?		✓				

Any other suggestions: ..... Initated the students for Spoken English classes .....

Date: 26/11/2019

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group of Institutions, Valluru 523 272

Signature of the Faculty

RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)

NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)
FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Name of the Faculty	Name of the Department
B Tech	N. Madhu Babu	S&H

Date of Feedback	Academic Year	Semester
27-07-2020	2019 - 2020	II

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	If Average or poor the changes suggested
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?	✓					
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?		✓				
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?	✓					
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?	✓					
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?	✓					
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?		✓				
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?	✓					
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?		✓				
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?	✓					
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?		✓				

Any other suggestions: .....

Date: 27/07/2020

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions Valluru

N. Madhu Babu
Signature of the Faculty

RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)

NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)
FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Name of the Faculty	Name of the Department
B.Tech	ch.pushpalatha	S&H

Date of Feedback	Academic Year	Semester
27-07-2020	2019-2020	II

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	If Average or poor the changes suggested
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?	✓					
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?		✓				
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?		✓				
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?	✓					
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?	✓					
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?		✓				
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?	✓					
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?	✓					
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?		✓				
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?	✓					

Any other suggestions: .....

Date: 27-07-2020

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, Valluru 523 272

ch.

Signature of the Faculty

RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)
NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)
FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Name of the Faculty	Name of the Department
BTech	Mr. G. Krishna Kishore	ECE

Date of Feedback	Academic Year	Semester
31/05/2019	2019 – 2020	II

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	If Average or poor the changes suggested
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?		✓				
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?	✓					
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?	✓					
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?	✓					
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?			✓			
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?			✓			
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?		✓				
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?	✓					
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?	✓					
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?	✓					

Any other suggestions: Purchase new licensed softwares required.

Date: 31/05/2019

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group of Institutions, Valluru

Mr. G. Krishna Kishore
Signature of the Faculty

RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)
NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)
FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Name of the Faculty	Name of the Department
B.tech	Mr.D. Arunkumar	ECE

Date of Feedback	Academic Year	Semester
31/05/2019	2019-2020	II

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	If Average or poor the changes suggested
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?	✓					
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?	✓					
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?		✓				
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?		✓				
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?		✓				
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?		✓				
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?	✓					
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?	✓					
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?	✓					
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?		✓				

Any other suggestions: ....industry.....intraction.....should.....improve.....

Date: 31/05/2019

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions Valluru

D. Arunkumar.
Signature of the Faculty

RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)
NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)
FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Name of the Faculty	Name of the Department
BTech	CH. Harikrishna	CSE

Date of Feedback	Academic Year	Semester
4/3/2020	2019–20	II

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	If Average or poor the changes suggested
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?	✓					
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?		✓				
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?	✓					
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?		✓				
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?	✓					
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?		✓				
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?	✓					
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?		✓				
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?	✓					
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?		✓				

Any other suggestions: ....conducted C, C++, Java and Advanced courses on programming concepts....

Date: 4/3/2020

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group

Signature of the Faculty

RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)
NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)
FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Name of the Faculty	Name of the Department
BTECH	Dr. J. Vanitha Vani	CSE

Date of Feedback	Academic Year	Semester
4/3/2020	2019 - 2020	II

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	If Average or poor the changes suggested
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?		✓				
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?	✓					
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?		✓				
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?	✓					
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?	✓					
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?		✓				
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?	✓					
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?		✓				
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?		✓				
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?	✓					

Any other suggestions: ....provide Digital boards for every classroom....

Date: 4/3/2020

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Grou

J. Vanitha Vani
Signature of the Faculty

RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)

NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)
FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Name of the Faculty	Name of the Department
B.Tech	K. Yamuna	Civil

Date of Feedback	Academic Year	Semester
30-10-2019	2019 - 2020	I

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	If Average or poor the changes suggested
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?	✓					
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?	✓					
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?	✓					
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?	✓					
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?	✓					
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?		✓				
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?	✓					
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?	✓					
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?	✓					
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?	✓					

Any other suggestions: ..NA.....

Date: 30-10-2019

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, Valluru 523 272

Signature of the Faculty

RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)

NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)
FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Name of the Faculty	Name of the Department
B-TECH	T. Suresh kumar	Civil

Date of Feedback	Academic Year	Semester
30-10-2019	2019 - 2020	I

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	If Average or poor the changes suggested
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?	✓					
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?	✓					
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?	✓					
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?	✓					
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?		✓				
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?	✓					
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?	✓	✓				
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?	✓					
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?	✓					
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?		✓				

Any other suggestions: NA

Date: 30-10-2019

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group of Institutions, Valluru 523 27

T. Suresh
Signature of the Faculty

RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)

NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)
FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Name of the Faculty	Name of the Department
B-Tech	T-Suresh Kmar	Civil

Date of Feedback	Academic Year	Semester
22-4-2020	2019-2020	II

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	If Average or poor the changes suggested
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?	✓					
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?	✓					
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?	✓					
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?	✓					
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?	✓					
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?	✓					
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?	✓					
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?	✓					
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?		✓				
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?	✓					

Any other suggestions: NA

Date: 22-4-2020

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, Valluru 523

T. Suresh.
Signature of the Faculty

RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)

NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)
FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Name of the Faculty	Name of the Department
B. Tech	K. Yamuna	civil

Date of Feedback	Academic Year	Semester
22-4-2020	2019 - 2020	II

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	If Average or poor the changes suggested
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?	✓					
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?	✓					
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?	✓					
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?	✓					
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?	✓					
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?	✓					
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?		✓				
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?			✓			
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?	✓					
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?		✓				

Any other suggestions: ....NA.....

Date: 22-4-2020

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, Valluru 52

Signature of the Faculty

RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)

NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

ANALYSIS OF FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name: B.Tech	Academic Year: 2019-2020
Department Name: S&H	Year/Semester: I-I

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?	21	2	1	0	0
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?	22	1	1	0	0
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?	20	2	2	0	0
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?	23	1	0	0	0
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?	21	2	1	0	0
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?	22	1	1	0	0
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?	24	0	0	0	0
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?	21	2	1	0	0
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?	20	3	1	0	0
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?	21	2	1	0	0

HOD

HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT
Dept Of S & H
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, VALLURU, A.P.523 272

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, Valluru 523 272

ANALYSIS OF FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Department Name	Academic Year	Semester
B.Tech	**S&H**	**2019-2020**	**I-I**

1.How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
21	2	1	0	0



2.How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
22	1	1	0	0



3. How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
20	2	2	0	0



4. How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
23	1	0	0	0



5. How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
21	2	1	0	0



6. How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content ?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
22	1	1	0	0



7.How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
24	0	0	0	0

8. How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
21	2	1	0	0

9. How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
20	3	1	0	0



10. Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
21	2	1	0	0



RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)
NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

REPORT ON FACULTY FEEDBACK ANALYSIS ON CURRICULUM

Program Name : B.TECH	**Academic Year : 2019-2020**
Department Name : S&H	**Year/Semester : I-I**

1. How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 87.50 % of the faculty members rated excellent about the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies,8.33% of the faculty members rated very good & 4.17% of the faculty members rated it good.

2. How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 91.67% of the faculty members rated excellent about the availability resources to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively,4.17% of the faculty members rated very good & 4.17% of the faculty members rated it good.

3. How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 83.33% of the faculty members rated excellent about the engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions, 8.33% of the faculty members rated very good & 8.33% of the faculty members rated it good.

4. How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 95.83% of the faculty members rated excellent about the opportunities for professional development and training & 4.17% of the faculty members rated very good.

5. How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that87.50 % of the faculty members rated excellent about the support for faculty research, publications and conference attendance, 8.33% of the faculty members rated very good & 4.17% of the faculty members rated it good.

6. How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 91.67% of the faculty members rated excellent about the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content,4.17 % of the faculty members rated very good & 4.17% of the faculty members rated it good.

7. How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 100.00% of the faculty members rated excellent about the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college.

8. How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 87.50% of the faculty members rated excellent about the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence, 8.33% of the faculty members rated very good & 4.17% of the faculty members rated it good

9. How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?
   From the analysis report, it was observed that 83.33% of the faculty members rated excellent about the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics, 12.50% of the faculty members rated very good & 4.17% of the faculty members rated it good.

10. Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?

    From the analysis report, it was observed that 87.50% of the faculty members rated excellent about the overall academic environment and performance of the college,8.33% of the faculty members rated very good & 4.17% of the faculty members rated it good.

N. Madhu Babu
COORDINATOR

HOD
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT
Dept Of S & H
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions,VALLURU,A.P.523 272

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, Valluru 523 272

RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)
NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

ANALYSIS OF FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

ProgrammeName : B.Tech	Academic Year : 2019-2020
Department Name : Civil	Semester : I

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?	10	2	1	0	0
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?	9	2	2	0	0
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?	10	2	1	0	0
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?	9	2	2	0	0
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?	10	3	0	0	0
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?	11	1	1	0	0
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?	11	2	0	0	0
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?	10	2	1	0	0
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?	11	1	1	0	0
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?	11	1	1	0	0

HOD

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, Valluru 523 272

HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT
Dept. of Civil Engineering
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, VALLURU-523 272

ANALYSIS OF FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Department Name	Academic Year	Semester
B.Tech	**Civil**	**2019-2020**	**I**

1.How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
10	2	1	0	0

2.How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
9	2	2	0	0



3. How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
10	2	1	0	0

4. How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
9	2	2	0	0



5. How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
10	3	0	0	0



6. How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content ?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
11	1	1	0	0

7.How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
11	2	0	0	0



8. How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
10	2	1	0	0

9. How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
11	1	1	0	0



10. Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
11	1	1	0	0



RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)
NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

REPORT ON FACULTY FEEDBACK ANALYSIS ON CURRICULUM

Program Name:B.TECH	**Academic Year: 2019-20**
Department Name:Civil	**Year/Semester : I**

1. How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 76.92 % of the faculty members rated excellent about the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies, 15.38% of the faculty members rated very good&7.69% of the faculty members rated it good.

2. How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 69.23% of the faculty members rated excellent about the availability resources to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively, % 15.38of the faculty members rated very good&15.38% of the faculty members rated it good.

3. How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 76.92% of the faculty members rated excellent about the engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions, 15.38% of the faculty members rated very good.

4. How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 69.23% of the faculty members rated excellent about the opportunities for professional development and training, 15.38% of the faculty members rated very good.

5. How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that76.92 % of the faculty members rated excellent about the support for faculty research, publications and conference attendance, 23.08% of the faculty members rated very good.

6. How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 84.62% of the faculty members rated excellent about the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content,7.69 % of the faculty members rated very good &7.69% of the faculty members rated it good.

7. How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 84.62% of the faculty members rated excellent about the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college, 15.38% of the faculty members rated very good.

8. How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 76.92% of the faculty members rated excellent about the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence, 15.38% of the faculty members rated very good.

9. How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?
   From the analysis report, it was observed that 84.62% of the faculty members rated excellent about the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics, 7.69% of the faculty members rated very good &7.69% of the faculty members rated it good.

10. Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?

    From the analysis report, it was observed that 84.62% of the faculty members rated excellentabout the overall academic environment and performance of the college, 7.69% of the faculty members rated very good&7.69% of the faculty members rated it good.

CO-ORDINATOR

HOD

HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT
Dept. of CIVIL Engineering
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions,VALLURU,A.P.-523 272

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, Valluru 523 272

RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)

NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

ANALYSIS OF FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name: B.Tech	Academic Year: 2019-2020
Department Name: ECE	Semester: I

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?	20	1	1	0	0
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?	21	1	0	0	0
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?	20	1	1	0	0
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?	21	1	0	0	0
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?	20	1	1	0	0
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?	20	1	1	0	0
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?	21	1	0	0	0
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?	21	1	0	0	0
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?	20	1	1	0	0
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?	20	2	0	0	0

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, Valluru 523 272

HOD

HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT
Department of E.C.E
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, VALLURU, A.P.-523 272

ANALYSIS OF FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Department Name	Academic Year	Semester
B.Tech	**ECE**	**2019-2020**	**I**

1.How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
20	1	1	0	0



2.How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
21	1	0	0	0



3. How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
20	1	1	0	0



4. How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
21	1	0	0	0



5. How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
20	1	1	0	0



6. How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content ?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
20	1	1	0	0



7.How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
21	1	0	0	0



8. How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
21	1	0	0	0



9. How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
20	1	1	0	0



10. Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
20	2	0	0	0



RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)

NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

REPORT ON FACULTY FEEDBACK ANALYSIS ON CURRICULUM

Program Name: B.TECH	**Academic Year: 2019-2020**
Department Name: ECE	**Year/Semester: I**

1. How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 90.91 % of the faculty members rated excellent about the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies, 4.55% of the faculty members rated very good & 4.55% of the faculty members rated it good.

2. How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 95.45% of the faculty members rated excellent about the availability resources to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively, % 4.55of the faculty members rated very good.

3. How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 90.91% of the faculty members rated excellent about the engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions, 4.55% of the faculty members rated very good & 4.55% of the faculty members rated it good.

4. How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 95.45% of the faculty members rated excellent about the opportunities for professional development and training, 4.55% of the faculty members rated very good.

5. How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 90.91 % of the faculty members rated excellent about the support for faculty research, publications and conference attendance, 4.55% of the faculty members rated very good & 4.55% of the faculty members rated it good.

6. How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 90.91% of the faculty members rated excellent about the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content,4.55 % of the faculty members rated very good & 4.55% of the faculty members rated it good.

7. How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 95.45% of the faculty members rated excellent about the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college, 4.55% of the faculty members rated very good.

8. How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 95.45% of the faculty members rated excellent about the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence, 4.55% of the faculty members rated very good.

9. How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?
   From the analysis report, it was observed that 90.91% of the faculty members rated excellent about the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics, 4.55% of the faculty members rated very good & 4.55% of the faculty members rated it good.

10. Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?

    From the analysis report, it was observed that 90.91% of the faculty members rated excellent about the overall academic environment and performance of the college, 9.09% of the faculty members rated very good.

COORDINATOR

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, Valluru 523 27

HOD

HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT
Department of E.C.E
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions,VALLURU,A.P.-523 272

RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)
NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

ANALYSIS OF FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name:B.Tech	**Academic Year:2019-2020**
Department Name:CSE	**Semester:I**

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?	21	1	0	0	0
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?	20	1	1	0	0
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?	20	2	0	0	0
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?	18	2	2	0	0
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?	21	1	0	0	0
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?	20	1	1	0	0
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?	20	1	1	0	0
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?	20	2	0	0	0
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?	21	1	0	0	0
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?	21	1	0	0	0

HOD

HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT
Department of CSE
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group of Institutions, VALLUR, A.P.-523 272

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
Institutions, Valluru 523 272

ANALYSIS OF FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Department Name	Academic Year	Semester
B.Tech	**CSE**	**2019-2020**	**I**

1.How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
21	1	0	0	0

2.How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
20	1	1	0	0



3. How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
20	2	0	0	0



4. How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
18	2	2	0	0

5. How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
21	1	0	0	0

6. How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content ?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
20	1	1	0	0



7.How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
20	1	1	0	0



8. How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
20	2	0	0	0



9. How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
21	1	0	0	0



10. Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
21	1	0	0	0



RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)

NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

REPORT ON FACULTY FEEDBACK ANALYSIS ON CURRICULUM

Program Name:B.TECH	**Academic Year: 2019-20**
Department Name:CSE	**Year/Semester:I**

1. How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that87.50 % of the faculty members rated excellent about the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies, 8.33% of the faculty members rated very good&4.17% of the faculty members rated it good.

2. How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 91.67% of the faculty members rated excellent about the availability resources to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively, % 4.17of the faculty members rated very good&4.17% of the faculty members rated it good.

3. How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 83.33% of the faculty members rated excellent about the engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions, 8.33% of the faculty members rated very good.

4. How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 87.50% of the faculty members rated excellent about the opportunities for professional development and training, 8.33% of the faculty members rated very good.

5. How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that87.50 % of the faculty members rated excellent about the support for faculty research, publications and conference attendance, 8.33% of the faculty members rated very good&4.17% of the faculty members rated it good.

6. How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 83.33% of the faculty members rated excellent about the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content,8.33 % of the faculty members rated very good &8.33% of the faculty members rated it good.

7. How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 87.50% of the faculty members rated excellent about the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college, 8.33% of the faculty members rated very good.

8. How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 83.33% of the faculty members rated excellent about the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence, 8.33% of the faculty members rated very good.

9. How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?
   From the analysis report, it was observed that 87.50% of the faculty members rated excellent about the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics, 8.33% of the faculty members rated very good &4.17% of the faculty members rated it good.

10. Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?

    From the analysis report, it was observed that 91.67% of the faculty members rated excellentabout the overall academic environment and performance of the college, 4.17% of the faculty members rated very good&4.17% of the faculty members rated it good.

COORDINATOR

HOD

OF THE DEPARTMENT
Department of CSE
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group of
stitutions,VALLUR,A.P.-523 272

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, Valluru 523 272

RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)
NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

ANALYSIS OF FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name: B.Tech	**Academic Year: 2019-2020**
Department Name: S&H	**Year/Semester: I-II**

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?	20	3	1	0	0
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?	19	4	1	0	0
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?	21	2	1	0	0
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?	22	1	1	0	0
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?	20	2	2	0	0
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?	21	2	1	0	0
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?	23	1	0	0	0
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?	20	4	0	0	0
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?	19	4	1	0	0
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?	21	3	0	0	0

HOD

HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT
Dept Of S & H
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, VALLURU, A.P.523 272

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, Valluru 523 272

ANALYSIS OF FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Department Name	Academic Year	Semester
B.Tech	**S&H**	**2019-2020**	**I-II**

1.How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
20	3	1	0	0

2.How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
19	4	1	0	0



3. How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
21	2	1	0	0

4. How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
22	1	1	0	0



5. How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
20	2	2	0	0



6. How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content ?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
21	2	1	0	0

7.How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
23	1	0	0	0



8. How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
20	4	0	0	0



9. How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
19	4	1	0	0



10. Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
21	3	0	0	0



RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)
NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

REPORT ON FACULTY FEEDBACK ANALYSIS ON CURRICULUM

Program Name : B.TECH	**Academic Year : 2019-2020**
Department Name : S&H	**Year/Semester : I-II**

1. How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 83.33 % of the faculty members rated excellent about the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies,12.50% of the faculty members rated very good & 4.17% of the faculty members rated it good.

2. How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 79.17% of the faculty members rated excellent about the availability resources to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively,16.67% of the faculty members rated very good & 4.17% of the faculty members rated it good.

3. How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 87.50% of the faculty members rated excellent about the engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions, 8.33% of the faculty members rated very good & 4.17% of the faculty members rated it good.

4. How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 91.67% of the faculty members rated excellent about the opportunities for professional development and training,4.17% of the faculty members rated very good & 4.17% of the faculty members rated it good.

5. How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that83.33 % of the faculty members rated excellent about the support for faculty research, publications and conference attendance, 8.33% of the faculty members rated very good & 8.33% of the faculty members rated it good.

6. How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 87.50% of the faculty members rated excellent about the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content,8.33 % of the faculty members rated very good & 4.17% of the faculty members rated it good.

7. How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 95.83% of the faculty members rated excellent about the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college & 4.17% of the faculty members rated very good.

8. How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 83.33% of the faculty members rated excellent about the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence & 16.67% of the faculty members rated very good.

9. How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?
   From the analysis report, it was observed that 79.17% of the faculty members rated excellent about the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics, 16.67% of the faculty members rated very good & 4.17% of the faculty members rated it good.

10. Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?

    From the analysis report, it was observed that 87.50% of the faculty members rated excellent about the overall academic environment and performance of the college & 12.50% of the faculty members rated very good.

N. Madhu Babu
COORDINATOR

HOD

HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT
Dept Of S & H
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, VALLURU, A.P.523 272

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, Valluru 523 272

RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)
NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

ANALYSIS OF FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

ProgrammeName:B.Tech	Academic Year:2019-20
Department Name:Civil	Semester:II

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?	10	2	1	0	0
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?	11	1	1	0	0
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?	11	1	1	0	0
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?	9	2	2	0	0
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?	12	1	0	0	0
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?	11	1	1	0	0
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?	9	3	1	0	0
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?	10	2	1	0	0
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?	11	1	1	0	0
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?	11	1	1	0	0

HOD

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, Valluru 523 272

HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT
Dept. of CIVIL Engineering
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, VALLURU, A.P.-523 272

ANALYSIS OF FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Department Name	Academic Year	Semester
B.Tech	**Civil**	**2019-20**	**II**

1.How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
10	2	1	0	0

2.How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
11	1	1	0	0



3. How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
11	1	1	0	0

4. How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
9	2	2	0	0

5. How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
12	1	0	0	0



6. How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content ?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
11	1	1	0	0



7.How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
9	3	1	0	0

8. How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
10	2	1	0	0



9. How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
11	1	1	0	0



10. Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
11	1	1	0	0



RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)
NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

REPORT ON FACULTY FEEDBACK ANALYSIS ON CURRICULUM

Program Name : B.TECH	**Academic Year: 2019-20**
Department Name : Civil	**Year/Semester : II**

1. How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 76.92 % of the faculty members rated excellent about the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies, 15.38% of the faculty members rated very good&7.69% of the faculty members rated it good.

2. How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 84.62% of the faculty members rated excellent about the availability resources to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively, % 7.69of the faculty members rated very good&7.69% of the faculty members rated it good.

3. How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 84.62% of the faculty members rated excellent about the engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions, 7.69% of the faculty members rated very good.

4. How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 69.23% of the faculty members rated excellent about the opportunities for professional development and training, 15.38% of the faculty members rated very good.

5. How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that92.31 % of the faculty members rated excellent about the support for faculty research, publications and conference attendance, 7.69% of the faculty members rated very good.

6. How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 84.62% of the faculty members rated excellent about the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content,7.69 % of the faculty members rated very good &7.69% of the faculty members rated it good.

7. How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 69.23% of the faculty members rated excellent about the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college, 23.08% of the faculty members rated very good.

8. How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 76.92% of the faculty members rated excellent about the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence, 15.38% of the faculty members rated very good.

9. How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?
   From the analysis report, it was observed that 84.62% of the faculty members rated excellent about the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics, 7.69% of the faculty members rated very good &7.69% of the faculty members rated it good.

10. Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?

    From the analysis report, it was observed that 84.62% of the faculty members rated excellentabout the overall academic environment and performance of the college, 7.69% of the faculty members rated very good&7.69% of the faculty members rated it good.

CO-ORDINATOR

HOD

HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT
Dept. of CIVIL Engineering
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions,VALLURU,A.P.-523 272

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, Valluru 523 272

RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)

NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

ANALYSIS OF FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name: B.Tech	Academic Year: 2019-2020
Department Name: ECE	Semester: II

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?	21	1	0	0	0
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?	21	1	0	0	0
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?	21	1	0	0	0
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?	21	1	0	0	0
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?	20	1	1	0	0
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?	20	1	1	0	0
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?	21	1	0	0	0
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?	21	1	0	0	0
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?	20	1	1	0	0
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?	21	1	0	0	0

S.V. HOD

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, Valluru 523 272

HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT
Department of E.C.E
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, VALLURU, A.P.-523 272

ANALYSIS OF FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Department Name	Academic Year	Semester
B.Tech	**ECE**	**2019-2020**	**II**

1.How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
21	1	0	0	0



2.How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
21	1	0	0	0



3. How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
21	1	0	0	0



4. How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
21	1	0	0	0



5. How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
20	1	1	0	0



6. How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content ?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
20	1	1	0	0



7.How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
21	1	0	0	0



8. How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
21	1	0	0	0



9. How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
20	1	1	0	0

10. Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
21	1	0	0	0



RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)

NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

REPORT ON FACULTY FEEDBACK ANALYSIS ON CURRICULUM

Program Name: B.TECH	**Academic Year: 2019-2020**
Department Name: ECE	**Year/Semester: II**

1. How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 95.45 % of the faculty members rated excellent about the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies, 4.55% of the faculty members rated very good.

2. How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 95.45% of the faculty members rated excellent about the availability resources to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively, % 4.55of the faculty members rated very good.

3. How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 95.45% of the faculty members rated excellent about the engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions, 4.55% of the faculty members rated very good.

4. How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 95.45% of the faculty members rated excellent about the opportunities for professional development and training, 4.55% of the faculty members rated very good .

5. How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 90.91 % of the faculty members rated excellent about the support for faculty research, publications and conference attendance, 4.55% of the faculty members rated very good & 4.55% of the faculty members rated it good.

6. How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 90.91% of the faculty members rated excellent about the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content,4.55 % of the faculty members rated very good & 4.55% of the faculty members rated it good.

7. How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 95.45% of the faculty members rated excellent about the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college, 4.55% of the faculty members rated very good.

8. How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 95.45% of the faculty members rated excellent about the college`s infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence, 4.55% of the faculty members rated very good.

9. How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?
   From the analysis report, it was observed that 90.91% of the faculty members rated excellent about the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics, 4.55% of the faculty members rated very good & 4.55% of the faculty members rated it good.

10. Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?

    From the analysis report, it was observed that 95.45% of the faculty members rated excellent about the overall academic environment and performance of the college, 4.55% of the faculty members rated very good.

COORDINATOR

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions Valluru

HOD

HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT
Department of E.C.E
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions,VALLURU,A.P.-523 272

RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS: ONGOLE

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)
NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

ANALYSIS OF FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

ProgrammeName: B.Tech	Academic Year:2019-2020
Department Name : CSE	Semester:II

S. No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?	20	2	0	0	0
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?	20	1	1	0	0
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?	20	2	0	0	0
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?	19	2	1	0	0
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?	19	1	2	0	0
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?	20	1	1	0	0
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?	20	2	0	0	0
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?	20	1	1	0	0
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?	20	1	1	0	0
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?	21	1	0	0	0

HOD

HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT
Department of CSE
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group of
Institutions,VALLUR,A.P.-523 272

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, Valluru 523 272

ANALYSIS OF FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Department Name	Academic Year	Semester
B.Tech	**CSE**	**2019-2020**	**II**

1.How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
20	2	0	0	0

2.How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
20	1	1	0	0

3. How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
20	2	0	0	0

4. How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
19	2	1	0	0



5. How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
19	1	2	0	0



6. How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content ?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
20	1	1	0	0



7.How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
20	2	0	0	0



8. How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
20	1	1	0	0



9. How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
20	1	1	0	0

10. Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
21	1	0	0	0



RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)

NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

REPORT ON FACULTY FEEDBACK ANALYSIS ON CURRICULUM

Program Name:B.TECH	**Academic Year: 2019-20**
Department Name:CSE	**Year/Semester:II**

1. How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that83.33 % of the faculty members rated excellent about the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies, 8.33% of the faculty members rated very good&8.33% of the faculty members rated it good.

2. How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 91.67% of the faculty members rated excellent about the availability resources to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively, % 4.17of the faculty members rated very good&4.17% of the faculty members rated it good.

3. How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 83.33% of the faculty members rated excellent about the engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions, 12.50% of the faculty members rated very good.

4. How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 87.50% of the faculty members rated excellent about the opportunities for professional development and training, 8.33% of the faculty members rated very good.

5. How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that87.50 % of the faculty members rated excellent about the support for faculty research, publications and conference attendance, 8.33% of the faculty members rated very good&4.17% of the faculty members rated it good.

6. How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 87.50% of the faculty members rated excellent about the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content,8.33 % of the faculty members rated very good &4.17% of the faculty members rated it good.

7. How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 87.50% of the faculty members rated excellent about the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college, 8.33% of the faculty members rated very good.

8. How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 83.33% of the faculty members rated excellent about the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence, 8.33% of the faculty members rated very good.

9. How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?
   From the analysis report, it was observed that 91.67% of the faculty members rated excellent about the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics, 8.33% of the faculty members rated very good &0.00% of the faculty members rated it good.

10. Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?

    From the analysis report, it was observed that 91.67% of the faculty members rated excellentabout the overall academic environment and performance of the college, 4.17% of the faculty members rated very good&4.17% of the faculty members rated it good.

COORDINATOR

HOD

HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT
Department of CSE
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group of
stitutions,VALLUR,A.P.-523 272

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, Valluru 523 272