RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)
NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)
FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Name of the Faculty	Name of the Department
B.Tech	Dr. M. Ganapathi	S&H (Mathematics)

Date of Feedback	Academic Year	Semester
10/03/2022	2021-2022	I

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	If Average or poor the changes suggested
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?	✓					
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?		✓				
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?		✓				
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?		✓				
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?	✓					
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?		✓				
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?	✓					✓
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?	✓					
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?	✓					
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?	✓					

Any other suggestions: ...... Bridge course from Inter to B.Tech ......

Date: 10/03/2022

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, Valluru 523 272

Signature of the Faculty

RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)
NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)
FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Name of the Faculty	Name of the Department
B.tech	V.Anjaneyulu	S&H

Date of Feedback	Academic Year	Semester
10-03-2022	2021-2022	I

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	If Average or poor the changes suggested
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?		✓				
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?	✓					
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?	✓					
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?		✓				
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?		✓				
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?		✓				
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?	✓					
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?	✓					
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?	✓					
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?	✓					

Any other suggestions: ....

Date: 10-3-2022

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, Valluru 523 272

V. Anje
Signature of the Faculty

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)
FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Name of the Faculty	Name of the Department
B.tech	Dr. Sivalingam. S	ECE

Date of Feedback	Academic Year	Semester
30/12/2021	2021-2022	I

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	If Average or poor the changes suggested
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?			✓			
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?		✓				
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?		✓				
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?		✓				
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?		✓				
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?		✓				
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?			✓			
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?	✓					
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?	✓					
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?		✓				

Any other suggestions: hands on selection on software require

Date: 30/12/2021

IQAC Coordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, Valluru 523 27?

Dr. S. Sivalingam
Signature of the Faculty

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)
FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Name of the Faculty	Name of the Department
BTech	Mr. D.V. Manikanta	ECE

Date of Feedback	Academic Year	Semester
30/12/2021	2021-2022	1

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	If Average or poor the changes suggested
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?		✓				
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?		✓				
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?			✓			
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?	✓					
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?		✓				
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?	✓					
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?	✓					
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?		✓				
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?			✓			
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?		✓				

Any other suggestions: Publication Support needed

Date: 30/12/2021

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, Valluru 523 27:

D.v. Manikanta.
Signature of the Faculty

RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE
(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)
NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)
FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Name of the Faculty	Name of the Department
B.Tech.	N.Koteswara Rao.	CSE.

Date of Feedback	Academic Year	Semester
13/10/2021	2021 - 2022	I

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	If Average or poor the changes suggested
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?	✓					
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?		✓				
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?		✓				
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?	✓					
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?	✓					
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?	✓					
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?		✓				
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?	✓					
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?	✓					
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?	✓					

Any other suggestions: .... Nil ....

Date: 13/10/2021

IQAC Co-ordinator
ISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, Valluru 52?

Signature of the Faculty

RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)

NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)
FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Name of the Faculty	Name of the Department
B.Tech	Mrs. Ch. Usha Rani	CSE.

Date of Feedback	Academic Year	Semester
13/10/2021	2021-22	I

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	If Average or poor the changes suggested
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?	✓					
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?	✓					
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?		✓				
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?	✓					
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?			✓			
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?	✓					
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?	✓					
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?	✓					
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?		✓				
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?		✓				

Any other suggestions: ... NIL

Date: 13/10/2021

P.R
IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
Institutions, Valluru 523 27

Ch. Usha Rani
Signature of the Faculty

RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)
NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)
FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Name of the Faculty	Name of the Department
B.Tech	P. SRI DEVI	S&H

Date of Feedback	Academic Year	Semester
18-07-2022	2021-2022	II

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	If Average or poor the changes suggested
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?	✓					
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?	✓					
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?		✓				
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?	✓					
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?		✓				
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?			✓			
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?	✓					
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?		✓				
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?	✓					
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?			✓			

Any other suggestions: ....

Date: 18-07-2022

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, Valluru 523 272

Signature of the Faculty

RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)
NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)
FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Name of the Faculty	Name of the Department
B.Tech	R.padmajyothi	S&H

Date of Feedback	Academic Year	Semester
18-07-2022	2021-2022	II

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	If Average or poor the changes suggested
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?	✓					
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?		✓				
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?	✓					
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?		✓				
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?	✓					
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?		✓				
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?	✓					
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?		✓				
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?	✓					
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?	✓					

Any other suggestions: ....

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, Valluru 523 272

Date: 18-07-2022

R. Padma
Signature of the Faculty

RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)

NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)
FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Name of the Faculty	Name of the Department
B Tech	Gioga Subramaayam	ECE

Date of Feedback	Academic Year	Semester
24-05-2022	2021-2022	II

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	If Average or poor the changes suggested
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?		✓				
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?		✓				
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?			✓			
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?		✓				
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?		✓				
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?	✓					
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?	✓					
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?	✓					
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?	✓					
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?		✓				

Any other suggestions: ...... Need Coopenalion in workshops & FDP's ....

Date: 24-05-22

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, Valluru 523 272

Signature of the Faculty

RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE
(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)
NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)
FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Name of the Faculty	Name of the Department
B.Tech	Ch. Anil baby	ECE

Date of Feedback	Academic Year	Semester
24/05/2022	2021-22	II

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	If Average or poor the changes suggested
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?		✓				
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?		✓				
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?		✓				
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?		✓				
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?	✓					
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?	✓					
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?	✓					
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?	✓					
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?	✓					
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?	✓					

Any other suggestions: .... Need to improve student communication skills.........

Date: 24/05/22

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, Valluru 523 272

Signature of the Faculty

RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE
(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)
NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)
FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Name of the Faculty	Name of the Department
	N. KOTESWARARAO	CSE

Date of Feedback	Academic Year	Semester
19/4/2022	2021 – 2022	II

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	If Average or poor the changes suggested
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?	✓					
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?		✓				
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?		✓				
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?			✓			
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?		✓				
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?	✓					
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?		✓				
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?			✓			
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?	✓					
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?		✓				

Any other suggestions: .... Conducted value-add on courses ....

Date: 19/4/2022

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, Valluru, 523 272

Signature of the Faculty

RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)

NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)
FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Name of the Faculty	Name of the Department
B.Tech	mrs. ch. usha Rani	CSE

Date of Feedback	Academic Year	Semester
19/4/2022	2021-22	II

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	If Average or poor the changes suggested
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?	✓					
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?	✓					
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?	✓					
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?		✓				
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?		✓				
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?	✓					
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?	✓					
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?		✓				
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?	✓					
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?	✓					

Any other suggestions: .....Conducted ouorkshop on AI Tool.g......

Date: 19/4/2022

IQAC Co-ordinator

RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Gro

of Institutions Valluru 523

Signature of the Faculty

ch. ushaPani

RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)
NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)
FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Name of the Faculty	Name of the Department
B.Tech	K.mounika	civil

Date of Feedback	Academic Year	Semester
24-11-2021	2021 - 2022	I

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	If Average or poor the changes suggested
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?	✓					
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?		✓				
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?		✓				
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?	✓					
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?	✓					
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?	✓		✓			
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?			✓			
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?	✓					
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?		✓				
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?	✓					

Any other suggestions: ......To provide digital boards In every classroom..

Date: 24-11-2021

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, Valluru 523 27

K.mounika
Signature of the Faculty

RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE
(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)
NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)
FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Name of the Faculty	Name of the Department
Btech	P.Sreenivasalu	Civil

Date of Feedback	Academic Year	Semester
24-11-2021	2021-22	I

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	If Average or poor the changes suggested
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?	✓					
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?		✓				
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?		✓				
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?	✓					
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?		✓				
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?			✓			
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?			✓			
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?	✓					
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?	✓					
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?		✓				

Any other suggestions: ..NIL..

Date: 24-11-2021

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
Institutions, Valluru 523 272

P.Sreenivasalu
Signature of the Faculty

RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE
(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)
NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)
FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Name of the Faculty	Name of the Department
Btech	P.Shivaraly	Clvl

Date of Feedback	Academic Year	Semester
25-4-2022	2021-22	II

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	If Average or poor the changes suggested
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?	✓					
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?	✓					
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?	✓					
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?	✓					
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?	✓					
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?		✓				
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?			✓			
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?	✓					
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?		✓				
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?	✓					

Any other suggestions: NA

Date: 25-4-2022

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, Valluru 523

P.Shivaraly
Signature of the Faculty

RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)
NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)
FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Name of the Faculty	Name of the Department
B-Tech	k. mounika	Civil

Date of Feedback	Academic Year	Semester
25-4-2022	2021-2022	II

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	If Average or poor the changes suggested
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?	✓					
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?		✓				
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?		✓				
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?	✓					
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?	✓					
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?	✓					
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?	✓					
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?	✓					
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?			✓			
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?	✓					

Any other suggestions: NA

Date: 25-04-2022

IQAC co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, Valluru 523 272

k.mounika
Signature of the Faculty

# RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE
(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)
NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

ANALYSIS OF FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name: B.Tech	Academic Year: 2021-2022
Department Name: S&H	Year/Semester: I-I

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?	16	2	1	0	0
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?	17	1	1	0	0
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?	18	1	0	0	0
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?	16	2	1	0	0
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?	17	2	0	0	0
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?	16	2	1	0	0
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?	18	1	0	0	0
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?	19	0	0	0	0
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?	16	2	1	0	0
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?	17	1	1	0	0

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, Valluru 523 272

HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT
Dept Of S & H
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions,VALLURU,A.P.523 272

ANALYSIS OF FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

| Programme Name	Department Name	Academic Year	Semester
B.Tech	S&H	2021-2022	I-I

1.How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?

| Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
16	2	1	0	0

2.How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
17	1	1	0	0

3. How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?

| Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
18	1	0	0	0

4. How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
16	2	1	0	0

5. How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
17	2	0	0	0

6. How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content ?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
16	2	1	0	0

7.How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
18	1	0	0	0

8. How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?

| Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
19	0	0	0	0

9. How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
16	2	1	0	0

10. Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
17	1	1	0	0

## INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

REPORT ON FACULTY FEEDBACK ANALYSIS ON CURRICULUM

Program Name : B.TECH	Academic Year : 2021-2022
Department Name : S&H	Year/Semester : I-I

1. How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 84.21 % of the faculty members rated excellent about the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies,10.53% of the faculty members rated very good & 5.26% of the faculty members rated it good.

2. How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 89.47% of the faculty members rated excellent about the availability resources to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively,5.26% of the faculty members rated very good & 5.26% of the faculty members rated it good.

3. How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 94.74% of the faculty members rated excellent about the engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions & 5.26% of the faculty members rated very good.

4. How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 84.21% of the faculty members rated excellent about the opportunities for professional development and training,10.53% of the faculty members rated very good & 5.26% of the faculty members rated it good.

5. How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 89.47 % of the faculty members rated excellent about the support for faculty research, publications and conference attendance & 10.53% of the faculty members rated very good.

6. How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 84.21% of the faculty members rated excellent about the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content,10.53 % of the faculty members rated very good & 5.26% of the faculty members rated it good.

7. How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 94.74% of the faculty members rated excellent about the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college & 5.26% of the faculty members rated very good.

8. How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 100.00% of the faculty members rated excellent about the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence.

9. How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?
   From the analysis report, it was observed that 84.21% of the faculty members rated excellent about the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics, 10.53% of the faculty members rated very good & 5.26% of the faculty members rated it good.

10. Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 89.47% of the faculty members rated excellent about the overall academic environment and performance of the college,5.26% of the faculty members rated very good & 5.26% of the faculty members rated it good.

N. Madhu Babu
COORDINATOR

HOD
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT
Dept Of S & H
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, VALLURU,A.P.523 272

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, Valluru 523 272

RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE
(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)
NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

ANALYSIS OF FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

ProgrammeName : B.Tech	Academic Year : 2021-22
Department Name : Civil	Semester : I

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?	5	1	0	0	0
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?	4	1	1	0	0
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?	6	0	0	0	0
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?	5	1	0	0	0
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?	5	1	0	0	0
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?	4	1	1	0	0
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?	6	0	0	0	0
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?	5	1	0	0	0
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?	6	0	0	0	0
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?	5	1	0	0	0

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, Valluru 523 272

HOD
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT
Dept. of CIVIL Engineering
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, VALLURU, A.P.-523 272

ANALYSIS OF FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

| Programme Name	Department Name	Academic Year	Semester
B.Tech	**Civil**	**2021-2022**	**I**

1.How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
5	1	0	0	0

2.How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
4	1	1	0	0

3. How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
6	0	0	0	0

4. How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?

| Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
5	1	0	0	0

5. How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
5	1	0	0	0

6. How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content ?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
4	1	1	0	0

7.How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?

| Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
6	0	0	0	0

8. How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
5	1	0	0	0

9. How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?

| Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
6	0	0	0	0

10. Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
5	1	0	0	0

## INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

REPORT ON FACULTY FEEDBACK ANALYSIS ON CURRICULUM

Program Name : B.TECH	Academic Year: 2021-22
Department Name : Civil	Year/Semester : I

1. How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 83.33 % of the faculty members rated excellent about the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies, 16.67% of the faculty members rated very good.

2. How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 66.67% of the faculty members rated excellent about the availability resources to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively, % 16.67of the faculty members rated very good&16.67% of the faculty members rated it good.

3. How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 100.00% of the faculty members rated excellent about the engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions.

4. How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 83.33% of the faculty members rated excellent about the opportunities for professional development and training, 16.67% of the faculty members rated very good.

5. How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that83.33 % of the faculty members rated excellent about the support for faculty research, publications and conference attendance, 16.67% of the faculty members rated very good.

6. How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 66.67% of the faculty members rated excellent about the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content,16.67 % of the faculty members rated very good &16.67% of the faculty members rated it good.

7. How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 100.00% of the faculty members rated excellent about the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college.

8. How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 83.33% of the faculty members rated excellent about the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence, 16.67% of the faculty members rated very good.

9. How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?
   From the analysis report, it was observed that 100.00% of the faculty members rated excellent about the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics.

10. Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?

    From the analysis report, it was observed that 83.33% of the faculty members rated excellentabout the overall academic environment and performance of the college, 16.67% of the faculty members rated very good.

CO-ORDINATOR

HOD

HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT
Dept. of CIVIL Engineering
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions,VALLURU,A.P.-523 272

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, Valluru 523 272

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

ANALYSIS OF FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name: B.Tech	Academic Year: 2021-2022
Department Name: ECE	Semester: I

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?	22	2	1	0	0
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?	23	2	0	0	0
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?	23	1	1	0	0
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?	22	3	0	0	0
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?	23	2	0	0	0
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?	24	1	0	0	0
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?	22	2	1	0	0
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?	23	2	0	0	0
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?	22	2	1	0	0
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?	23	2	0	0	0

ANALYSIS OF FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Department Name	Academic Year	Semester
B.Tech	**ECE**	**2021-2022**	**I**

1.How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
22	2	1	0	0

2.How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
23	2	0	0	0

3. How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
23	1	1	0	0

4. How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
22	3	0	0	0

5. How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?

| Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
23	2	0	0	0

6. How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content ?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
24	1	0	0	0

7.How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
22	2	1	0	0

8. How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
23	2	0	0	0

9. How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?

| Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
22	2	1	0	0

10. Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?

| Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
23	2	0	0	0

RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE
(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)
NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

REPORT ON FACULTY FEEDBACK ANALYSIS ON CURRICULUM

Program Name: B.TECH	Academic Year: 2021-2022
Department Name: ECE	Year/Semester: I

1. How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 88.00 % of the faculty members rated excellent about the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies, 8.00% of the faculty members rated very good & 4.00% of the faculty members rated it good.

2. How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 92.00% of the faculty members rated excellent about the availability resources to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively, % 8.00of the faculty members rated very good.

3. How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 92.00% of the faculty members rated excellent about the engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions, 4.00% of the faculty members rated very good & 4.00% of the faculty members rated it good.

4. How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 88.00% of the faculty members rated excellent about the opportunities for professional development and training, 12.00% of the faculty members rated very good.

5. How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 92.00 % of the faculty members rated excellent about the support for faculty research, publications and conference attendance, 8.00% of the faculty members rated very good.

6. How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 96.00% of the faculty members rated excellent about the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content,4.00 % of the faculty members rated very good.

7. How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 88.00% of the faculty members rated excellent about the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college, 8.00% of the faculty members rated very good & 4.00% of the faculty members rated it good.

8. How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 92.00% of the faculty members rated excellent about the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence, 8.00% of the faculty members rated very good.

9. How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?
From the analysis report, it was observed that 88.00% of the faculty members rated excellent about the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics, 8.00% of the faculty members rated very good & 4.00% of the faculty members rated it good.

10. Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 92.00% of the faculty members rated excellent about the overall academic environment and performance of the college, 8.00% of the faculty members rated very good.

COORDINATOR

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, Valluru 523 272

S.N **HOD**

HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT
Department of E.C.E
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, VALLURU, A.P.-523 272

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

ANALYSIS OF FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

ProgrammeName:B.Tech	Academic Year:2021-2022
Department Name: CSE	Semester: I

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?	24	1	0	0	0
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?	23	1	1	0	0
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?	23	1	1	0	0
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?	22	2	1	0	0
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?	22	1	2	0	0
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?	24	1	0	0	0
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?	23	1	1	0	0
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?	23	2	0	0	0
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?	24	1	0	0	0
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?	23	1	1	0	0

HOD
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT
Department of CSE
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group of
Institutions, VALLUR, A.P.-523 272

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, Valluru 523 272

ANALYSIS OF FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Department Name	Academic Year	Semester
B.Tech	CSE	2021-2022	I

1.How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
24	1	0	0	0

2.How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
23	1	1	0	0

3. How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
23	1	1	0	0

4. How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
22	2	1	0	0

5. How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?

| Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
22	1	2	0	0

6. How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content ?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
24	1	0	0	0

7.How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?

| Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
23	1	1	0	0

8. How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
23	2	0	0	0

9. How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?

| Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
24	1	0	0	0

10. Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?

| Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
23	1	1	0	0

RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE
(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)
NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

REPORT ON FACULTY FEEDBACK ANALYSIS ON CURRICULUM

Program Name:B.TECH	Academic Year: 2021-22
Department Name:CSE	Year/Semester:I

1. How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that91.30 % of the faculty members rated excellent about the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies, 4.35% of the faculty members rated very good&4.35% of the faculty members rated it good.

2. How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 86.96% of the faculty members rated excellent about the availability resources to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively, % 8.70of the faculty members rated very good&4.35% of the faculty members rated it good.

3. How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 91.30% of the faculty members rated excellent about the engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions, 4.35% of the faculty members rated very good.

4. How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 86.96% of the faculty members rated excellent about the opportunities for professional development and training, 8.70% of the faculty members rated very good.

5. How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that91.30 % of the faculty members rated excellent about the support for faculty research, publications and conference attendance, 4.35% of the faculty members rated very good&4.35% of the faculty members rated it good.

6. How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 86.96% of the faculty members rated excellent about the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content,8.70 % of the faculty members rated very good &4.35% of the faculty members rated it good.

7. How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 91.30% of the faculty members rated excellent about the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college, 8.70% of the faculty members rated very good.

8. How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 95.65% of the faculty members rated excellent about the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence, 4.35% of the faculty members rated very good.

9. How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?
From the analysis report, it was observed that 91.30% of the faculty members rated excellent about the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics, 4.35% of the faculty members rated very good &4.35% of the faculty members rated it good.

10. Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 91.30% of the faculty members rated excellentabout the overall academic environment and performance of the college, 8.70% of the faculty members rated very good&0.00% of the faculty members rated it good.

COORDINATOR

HOD
.D OF THE DEPARTMENT
Department of CSE
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group of
stitutions, VALLUR, A.P.-523 272

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, Valluru 523 272

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

ANALYSIS OF FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name: B.Tech	Academic Year: 2021-2022
Department Name: S&H	Year/Semester: I-II

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?	17	2	0	0	0
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?	16	3	0	0	0
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?	17	2	0	0	0
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?	16	2	1	0	0
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?	17	2	0	0	0
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?	16	2	1	0	0
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?	18	1	0	0	0
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?	17	2	0	0	0
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?	18	1	0	0	0
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?	16	2	1	0	0

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, Valluru 523 272

HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT
Dept Of S & H
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, VALLURU, A.P.523 272

ANALYSIS OF FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Department Name	Academic Year	Semester
B.Tech	S&H	2021-2022	I-II

1.How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
17	2	0	0	0

2.How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
16	3	0	0	0

3. How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
17	2	0	0	0

4. How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
16	2	1	0	0

5. How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
17	2	0	0	0

6. How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content ?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
16	2	1	0	0

7.How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
18	1	0	0	0

8. How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?

| Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
17	2	0	0	0

9. How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
18	1	0	0	0

10. Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
16	2	1	0	0

# RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE
(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)
NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

REPORT ON FACULTY FEEDBACK ANALYSIS ON CURRICULUM

Program Name : B.TECH	Academic Year : 2021-2022
Department Name : S&H	Year/Semester : I-II

1. How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 89.47 % of the faculty members rated excellent about the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies & 10.53% of the faculty members rated very good.

2. How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 84.21% of the faculty members rated excellent about the availability resources to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively & 15.79% of the faculty members rated very good.

3. How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 89.47% of the faculty members rated excellent about the engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions & 10.53% of the faculty members rated very good.

4. How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 84.21% of the faculty members rated excellent about the opportunities for professional development and training,10.53% of the faculty members rated very good & 5.26% of the faculty members rated it good.

5. How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that89.47 % of the faculty members rated excellent about the support for faculty research, publications and conference attendance & 10.53% of the faculty members rated very good.

6. How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 84.21% of the faculty members rated excellent about the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content,10.53 % of the faculty members rated very good & 5.26% of the faculty members rated it good.

7. How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 94.74% of the faculty members rated excellent about the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college & 5.26% of the faculty members rated very good.

8. How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 89.47% of the faculty members rated excellent about the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence & 10.53% of the faculty members rated very good.

9. How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?
From the analysis report, it was observed that 94.74% of the faculty members rated excellent about the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics & 5.26% of the faculty members rated very good.

10. Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 84.21% of the faculty members rated excellentabout the overall academic environment and performance of the college,10.53% of the faculty members rated very good & 5.26% of the faculty members rated it good.

N. Madhu Babu
COORDINATOR

HOD
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT
Dept Of S & H
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, VALLURU, A.P.523 272

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, Valluru   523 272

RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE
(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)
NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

ANALYSIS OF FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

ProgrammeName:B.Tech	Academic Year:2021-22
Department Name: Civil	Semester:II

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?	5	1	0	0	0
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?	4	1	1	0	0
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?	5	1	0	0	0
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?	4	1	1	0	0
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?	6	0	0	0	0
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?	5	1	0	0	0
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?	4	1	1	0	0
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?	4	2	0	0	0
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?	5	1	0	0	0
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?	6	0	0	0	0

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, Valluru 523 272

HOD
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT
Dept. of CIVIL Engineering
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions,VALLURU,A.P.-523 272

ANALYSIS OF FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Department Name	Academic Year	Semester
B.Tech	**Civil**	**2021-2022**	**II**

1.How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
5	1	0	0	0

2.How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
4	1	1	0	0

3. How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
5	1	0	0	0

4. How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
4	1	1	0	0

5. How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
6	0	0	0	0

6. How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content ?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
5	1	0	0	0

7.How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
4	1	1	0	0

8. How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
4	2	0	0	0

9. How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?

| Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
5	1	0	0	0

10. Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
6	0	0	0	0

## INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

REPORT ON FACULTY FEEDBACK ANALYSIS ON CURRICULUM

Program Name : B.TECH	Academic Year: 2021-22
Department Name : Civil	Year/Semester : II

1. How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 83.33 % of the faculty members rated excellent about the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies, 16.67% of the faculty members rated very good.

2. How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 66.67% of the faculty members rated excellent about the availability resources to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively, % 16.67of the faculty members rated very good&16.67% of the faculty members rated it good.

3. How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 83.33% of the faculty members rated excellent about the engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions, 16.67% of the faculty members rated very good.

4. How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 66.67% of the faculty members rated excellent about the opportunities for professional development and training, 16.67% of the faculty members rated very good.

5. How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that100.00 % of the faculty members rated excellent about the support for faculty research, publications and conference attendance, 0.00% of the faculty members rated very good.

6. How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 83.33% of the faculty members rated excellent about the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content,16.67 % of the faculty members rated very good .

7. How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 66.67% of the faculty members rated excellent about the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college, 16.67% of the faculty members rated very good.

8. How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 66.67% of the faculty members rated excellent about the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence, 33.33% of the faculty members rated very good.

9. How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?
   From the analysis report, it was observed that 83.33% of the faculty members rated excellent about the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics, 16.67% of the faculty members rated very good .

10. Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?

    From the analysis report, it was observed that 100.00% of the faculty members rated excellentabout the overall academic environment and performance of the college.

CO-ORDINATOR

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, Valluru 523 272

HOD
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT
Dept. of CIVIL Engineering
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of institutions, VALLURU, A.P.-523 272

RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE
(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)
NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

ANALYSIS OF FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name: B.Tech	Academic Year: 2021-2022
Department Name: ECE	Semester: II

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?	22	3	0	0	0
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?	23	2	0	0	0
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?	23	1	1	0	0
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?	22	2	1	0	0
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?	23	2	0	0	0
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?	24	1	0	0	0
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?	22	3	0	0	0
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?	23	2	0	0	0
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?	22	2	1	0	0
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?	24	1	0	0	0

S.N HOD

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Grou
of Institutions, Valluru 523 27:

HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT
Department of E.C.E
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, VALLURU, A.P.-523 272

ANALYSIS OF FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

| Programme Name	Department Name	Academic Year	Semester
B.Tech	ECE	2021-2022	II

1.How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
22	3	0	0	0

2.How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
23	2	0	0	0

3. How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
23	1	1	0	0

4. How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?

| Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
22	2	1	0	0

5. How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
23	2	0	0	0

6. How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content ?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
24	1	0	0	0

7.How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
22	3	0	0	0

8. How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?

| Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
23	2	0	0	0

9. How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
22	2	1	0	0

10. Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
24	1	0	0	0

RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE
(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)
NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

REPORT ON FACULTY FEEDBACK ANALYSIS ON CURRICULUM

Program Name: B.TECH	Academic Year: 2021-2022
Department Name: ECE	Year/Semester: II

1. How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 88.00 % of the faculty members rated excellent about the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies, 12.00% of the faculty members rated very good.

2. How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 92.00% of the faculty members rated excellent about the availability resources to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively, % 8.00of the faculty members rated very good.

3. How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 92.00% of the faculty members rated excellent about the engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions, 4.00% of the faculty members rated very good & 4.00% of the faculty members rated it good.

4. How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 88.00% of the faculty members rated excellent about the opportunities for professional development and training, 8.00% of the faculty members rated very good & 4.00% of the faculty members rated it good.

5. How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 92.00 % of the faculty members rated excellent about the support for faculty research, publications and conference attendance, 8.00% of the faculty members rated very good.

6. How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 96.00% of the faculty members rated excellent about the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content,4.00 % of the faculty members rated very good

7. How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 88.00% of the faculty members rated excellent about the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college, 12.00% of the faculty members rated very good.

8. How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 92.00% of the faculty members rated excellent about the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence, 8.00% of the faculty members rated very good.

9. How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?
   From the analysis report, it was observed that 88.00% of the faculty members rated excellent about the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics, 8.00% of the faculty members rated very good & 4.00% of the faculty members rated it good.

10. Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?

    From the analysis report, it was observed that 96.00% of the faculty members rated excellent about the overall academic environment and performance of the college, 4.00% of the faculty members rated very good.

COORDINATOR

HOD

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, Valluru 523 27

HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT
Department of E.C.E
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, VALLURU, A.P.-523 272

RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS: ONGOLE

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)
NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

ANALYSIS OF FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

ProgrammeName:B.Tech	Academic Year:2021-2022
Department Name:CSE	Year/Semester:II

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?	23	2	0	0	0
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?	23	1	1	0	0
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?	24	1	0	0	0
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?	24	1	0	0	0
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?	22	1	2	0	0
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?	23	1	1	0	0
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?	22	2	1	0	0
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?	24	1	0	0	0
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?	24	1	0	0	0
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?	23	1	1	0	0

HOD
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT
Department of CSE
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group of
Institutions,VALLUR,A.P.-523 272

IQAC Co-ordinator
ISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
f Institutions Valluru 523 272

ANALYSIS OF FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

| Programme Name	Department Name	Academic Year	Semester
B.Tech	**CSE**	**2021-2022**	**II**

1.How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
23	2	0	0	0

2.How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?

| Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
23	1	1	0	0

3. How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
24	1	0	0	0

4. How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
24	1	0	0	0

5. How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
22	1	2	0	0

6. How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content ?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
23	1	1	0	0

7.How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
22	2	1	0	0

8. How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?

| Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
24	1	0	0	0

9. How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
24	1	0	0	0

10. Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?

| Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
23	1	1	0	0

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

REPORT ON FACULTY FEEDBACK ANALYSIS ON CURRICULUM

Program Name:B.TECH	Academic Year: 2021-22
Department Name:CSE	Year/Semester:II

1. How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?

    From the analysis report, it was observed that85.19 % of the faculty members rated excellent about the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies, 7.41% of the faculty members rated very good&7.41% of the faculty members rated it good.

2. How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?

    From the analysis report, it was observed that 96.30% of the faculty members rated excellent about the availability resources to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively, % 3.70of the faculty members rated very good&0.00% of the faculty members rated it good.

3. How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?

    From the analysis report, it was observed that 88.89% of the faculty members rated excellent about the engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions, 3.70% of the faculty members rated very good.

4. How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?

    From the analysis report, it was observed that 88.89% of the faculty members rated excellent about the opportunities for professional development and training, 7.41% of the faculty members rated very good.

5. How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?

    From the analysis report, it was observed that92.59 % of the faculty members rated excellent about the support for faculty research, publications and conference attendance, 7.41% of the faculty members rated very good&0.00% of the faculty members rated it good.

6. How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?

    From the analysis report, it was observed that 92.59% of the faculty members rated excellent about the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content,3.70 % of the faculty members rated very good &3.70% of the faculty members rated it good.

7. How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 85.19% of the faculty members rated excellent about the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college, 11.11% of the faculty members rated very good.

8. How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?

   From the analysis report, it was observed that 92.59% of the faculty members rated excellent about the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence, 3.70% of the faculty members rated very good.

9. How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?
   From the analysis report, it was observed that 88.89% of the faculty members rated excellent about the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics, 7.41% of the faculty members rated very good &3.70% of the faculty members rated it good.

10. Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?

    From the analysis report, it was observed that 92.59% of the faculty members rated excellentabout the overall academic environment and performance of the college, 3.70% of the faculty members rated very good&3.70% of the faculty members rated it good.

COORDINATOR

HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT
Department of CSE
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group of
titutions, VALLUR, A.P.-523 272

IQAC Co-ordinator
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group
of Institutions, Valluru 523 272