



# RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)

NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

## INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC) FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Name of the Faculty	Name of the Department
B.Tech	Dr. M. Ganapathi	S & H (Mathematics)

Date of Feedback	Academic Year	Semester
27/02/2023	2022 - 2023	I

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	If Average or poor the changes suggested
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?	✓					
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?		✓				
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?	✓					
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?	✓					
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?		✓				
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?	✓					
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?		✓				
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?	✓					
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?		✓				
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?	✓					

Any other suggestions: ..... — NA — .....

Date: 27/02/2023

IQAC Co-ordinator  
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group  
of Institutions, Valluru 523 272

Signature of the Faculty



# RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)  
NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

## INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC) FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM


Programme Name	Name of the Faculty	Name of the Department
BTech	N. Madhu Babu	SSH


Date of Feedback	Academic Year	Semester
27-02-2023	2022-23	I

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	If Average or poor the changes suggested
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?	✓					
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?		✓				
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?	✓					
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?	✓					
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?		✓				
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?	✓					
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?	✓					
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?		✓				
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?		✓				
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?	✓					

Any other suggestions: — NIL —

Date: 27/02/2023

  
IQAC Co-ordinator  
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group  
of Institutions, Valluru 523 272

  
Signature of the Faculty



# RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)

NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

## INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

### FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Name of the Faculty	Name of the Department
B.Tech	K. Koteshwar Rao	ECE

Date of Feedback	Academic Year	Semester
26-11-2022	2022-2023	I

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	If Average or poor the changes suggested
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?		✓				
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?	✓					
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?			✓			
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?			✓			
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?		✓				
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?			✓			
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?		✓				
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?		✓				
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?		✓				
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?		✓				

Any other suggestions: encourage students to enrol and complete online certification courses

Date: 26-11-2022

IQAC Co-ordinator  
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group  
of Institutions, Valluru 523 272

K. Koteshwar Rao  
Signature of the Faculty



# RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)

NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

## INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC) FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Name of the Faculty	Name of the Department
B. Tech	A. Srinivasarao	E.C.E

Date of Feedback	Academic Year	Semester
26-11-2022	2022-2023	I

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	If Average or poor the changes suggested
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?	✓					
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?		✓				
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?	✓					
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?	✓					
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?		✓				
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?	✓					
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?	✓					
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?		✓				
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?		✓				
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?	✓					

Any other suggestions: ..... Create an environment and encourage students to do mini projects from

Date: 26-11-2022

What they learn

*P.S.*  
IQAC Co-ordinator  
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group  
of Institutions, Valluru 523 272

*[Signature]*  
Signature of the Faculty



# RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)

NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

## INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

### FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Name of the Faculty	Name of the Department
	B. Lavanya	CSE


Date of Feedback	Academic Year	Semester
30/11/2022	2022-23	I

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	If Average or poor the changes suggested
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?	✓					
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?	✓					
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?	✓					
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?	✓					
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?		✓				
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?	✓					
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?	✓					
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?	✓					
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?	✓					
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?	✓					

Any other suggestions: ...Nil...

Date: 30/11/2022

  
IQAC Co-ordinator  
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group  
of Institutions, Valluru, 523 272

  
Signature of the Faculty



# RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)

NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

## INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

### FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Name of the Faculty	Name of the Department
	Anoju Nithalapati	Computer Science & Engineering

Date of Feedback	Academic Year	Semester
30/11/2022	2022-23	II

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	If Average or poor the changes suggested
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?		✓				
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?	✓					
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?		✓				
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?		✓				
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?		✓				
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?	✓					
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?		✓				
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?		✓				
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?	✓					
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?	✓					

Any other suggestions: N.S.L

Date: 30/11/2022

IQAC Co-ordinator  
SE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group

Signature of the Faculty



# RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)

NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

## INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC) FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM


Programme Name	Name of the Faculty	Name of the Department
B.Tech	P-SRIDEVI	S&H


Date of Feedback	Academic Year	Semester
12-06-2023	2022-2023	II

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	If Average or poor the changes suggested
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?		✓				
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?		✓				
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?	✓					
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?	✓					
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?	✓					
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?		✓				
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?		✓				
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?	✓					
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?	✓					
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?		✓				

Any other suggestions: .....

Date: 12-06-2023

  
IQAC Co-ordinator  
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group  
of Institutions, Valluru 523 272

  
Signature of the Faculty



RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)

NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)  
FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Name of the Faculty	Name of the Department
B.Tech	R.V.S. Siva Kumar	SSH

Date of Feedback	Academic Year	Semester
12-06-2023	2022-23	I-II

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	If Average or poor the changes suggested
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?	✓					
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?		✓				
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?	✓					
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?	✓	.				
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?	✓					
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?		✓				
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?	✓					
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?		✓				
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?	✓					
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?		✓				

Any other suggestions: ..... Require FDP programmes

Date: 12-06-2023

**IQAC Co-ordinator**  
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group  
of Institutions, Valluru 523 272

R.V.S. Siva Kumar  
Signature of the Faculty





# RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)

NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

## INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC) FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Name of the Faculty	Name of the Department
B-Tech	Meeravalli. CW	ECE

Date of Feedback	Academic Year	Semester
30-5-23	2022 - 2023	II

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	If Average or poor the changes suggested
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?	✓					
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?	✓					
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?	✓					
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?	✓					
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?	✓					
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?	✓					
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?	✓					
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?	✓					
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?	✓					
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?	✓					

Any other suggestions: provide more number of is nm resources

Date: 30-5-23

IQAC Co-ordinator  
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group  
of Institutions

Signature of the Faculty



# RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)

NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

## INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

### FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Name of the Faculty	Name of the Department
B.Tech	Dr.S.V.V.N. CHANUKYA PADIRA	ECE

Date of Feedback	Academic Year	Semester
30-5-23	2022-2023	II

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	If Average or poor the changes suggested
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?			✓			
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?		✓				
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?		✓				
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?			✓			
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?			✓			
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?		✓				
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?		✓				
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?		✓				
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?		✓				
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?		✓				

Any other suggestions: ..... Conduct Advanced training workshops for both

Date: 30-5-23

Faculty & students.

IQAC Co-ordinator

Signature of the Faculty



# RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)

NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

## INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC) FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Name of the Faculty	Name of the Department
	B. Lavanya	CSE

Date of Feedback	Academic Year	Semester
30/3/2023	2022-23	II

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	If Average or poor the changes suggested
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?	✓					
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?	✓					
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?	✓					
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?	✓					
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?			✓			
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?	✓					
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?	✓					
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?	✓					
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?	✓					
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?	✓					

Any other suggestions: ...conducted on more workshops, Industry Standard - Data Analytics...

Date: 30/3/2023

IQAC Co-ordinator

SE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group

(power BI)

Signature of the Faculty



RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)

NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)  
FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Name of the Faculty	Name of the Department
	Anshu Nuthalapati	Computer Science & Engineering

Date of Feedback	Academic Year	Semester
30/3/2023	2022-23	I

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	If Average or poor the changes suggested
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?		✓				
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?	✓					
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?		✓				
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?		✓				
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?		✓				
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?		✓				
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?		✓				
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?	✓					
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?	✓					
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?	✓					

Any other suggestions: .....NIL.....

Date: 30/3/2023

  
IQAC Co-ordinator  
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group  
of Institutions, Valluru 523272

  
Signature of the Faculty



# RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)

NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

## INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

### ANALYSIS OF FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name: B.Tech	Academic Year: 2022-2023
Department Name: S&H	Year/Semester: I-I

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?	16	1	1	0	0
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?	15	3	0	0	0
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?	16	2	0	0	0
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?	17	1	0	0	0
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?	14	2	2	0	0
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?	16	2	0	0	0
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?	15	2	1	0	0
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?	14	2	2	0	0
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?	16	2	0	0	0
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?	14	2	2	0	0

*PQR*

**IQAC Co-ordinator**

**RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group  
of Institutions, Valluru 523 272**

*Amdu*  
HOD


**HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT  
Dept Of S & H  
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group  
of Institutions, VALLURU, A.P. 523 272**

## ANALYSIS OF FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Department Name	Academic Year	Semester
<b>B.Tech</b>	<b>S&amp;H</b>	<b>2022-2023</b>	<b>I-I</b>


1. How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
16	1	1	0	0




2. How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
15	3	0	0	0




3. How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
16	2	0	0	0




4. How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
17	1	0	0	0




5. How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
14	2	2	0	0




6. How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content ?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
16	2	0	0	0




7. How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
15	2	1	0	0



8. How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?


Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
14	2	2	0	0






9. How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
16	2	0	0	0



10. Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
14	2	2	0	0





# RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)  
NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

## INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

### REPORT ON FACULTY FEEDBACK ANALYSIS ON CURRICULUM

<b>Program Name : B.TECH</b>	<b>Academic Year : 2022-2023</b>
<b>Department Name : S&amp;H</b>	<b>Year/Semester : I-I</b>

1. How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 88.89 % of the faculty members rated excellent about the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies, 5.56% of the faculty members rated very good & 5.56% of the faculty members rated it good.

2. How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 83.33% of the faculty members rated excellent about the availability resources to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively & 16.67% of the faculty members rated very good.

3. How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 88.89% of the faculty members rated excellent about the engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions & 11.11% of the faculty members rated very good.

4. How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 94.44% of the faculty members rated excellent about the opportunities for professional development and training & 5.56% of the faculty members rated very good.

5. How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 77.78 % of the faculty members rated excellent about the support for faculty research, publications and conference attendance, 11.11% of the faculty members rated very good & 11.11% of the faculty members rated it good.

6. How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 88.89% of the faculty members rated excellent about the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content & 11.11 % of the faculty members rated very good.

7. How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 83.33% of the faculty members rated excellent about the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college, 11.11% of the faculty members rated very good & 5.56% of the faculty members rated it good.

8. How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 77.78% of the faculty members rated excellent about the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence, 11.11% of the faculty members rated very good & 11.11% of the faculty members rated it good

9. How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 88.89% of the faculty members rated excellent about the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics & 11.11% of the faculty members rated very good.

10. Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 77.78% of the faculty members rated excellent about the overall academic environment and performance of the college, 11.11% of the faculty members rated very good & 11.11% of the faculty members rated it good.

*M. Madhu Babu*  
COORDINATOR

*PO*  
IQAC Co-ordinator  
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group  
of Institutions, Valluru 523 272

*Jandhi*  
HOD  
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT  
Dept Of S & H  
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group  
of Institutions, VALLURU, A.P. 523 272



# RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)

NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

## INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

### ANALYSIS OF FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

<b>Programme Name: B.Tech</b>	<b>Academic Year: 2022-2023</b>
<b>Department Name: ECE</b>	<b>Semester: I</b>

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?	22	1	0	0	0
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?	21	2	0	0	0
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?	21	1	1	0	0
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?	21	1	1	0	0
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?	21	2	0	0	0
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?	21	1	1	0	0
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?	20	2	1	0	0
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?	21	1	1	0	0
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?	22	1	0	0	0
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?	22	1	0	0	0

**IQAC Co-ordinator**  
**RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group**  
**of Institutions, Valluru 523 272**


**HEAD OF THE DEPAR:**  
**Department of E.C.E**  
**RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group**  
**of Institutions, VALLURU, A.P.-523 272**

## ANALYSIS OF FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Department Name	Academic Year	Semester
B.Tech	ECE	2022-2023	I


1. How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
22	1	0	0	0




2. How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
21	2	0	0	0




3. How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
21	1	1	0	0




4. How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
21	1	1	0	0




5. How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
21	2	0	0	0




6. How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content ?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
21	1	1	0	0



7. How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
20	2	1	0	0




8. How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
21	1	1	0	0




9. How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
22	1	0	0	0



10. Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
22	1	0	0	0







# RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)

NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

## INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

### REPORT ON FACULTY FEEDBACK ANALYSIS ON CURRICULUM

Program Name: B.TECH	Academic Year: 2022-2023
Department Name: ECE	Year/Semester: I

1. How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 95.65 % of the faculty members rated excellent about the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies, 4.35% of the faculty members rated very good.

2. How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 91.30% of the faculty members rated excellent about the availability resources to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively, % 8.70of the faculty members rated very good.

3. How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 91.30% of the faculty members rated excellent about the engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions, 4.35% of the faculty members rated very good & 4.35% of the faculty members rated it good.

4. How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 91.30% of the faculty members rated excellent about the opportunities for professional development and training, 4.35% of the faculty members rated very good & 4.35% of the faculty members rated it good.

5. How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 91.30 % of the faculty members rated excellent about the support for faculty research, publications and conference attendance, 8.70% of the faculty members rated very good.

6. How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 91.30% of the faculty members rated excellent about the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content,4.35 % of the faculty members rated very good & 4.35% of the faculty members rated it good.

7. How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 86.96% of the faculty members rated excellent about the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college, 8.70% of the faculty members rated very good & 4.35% of the faculty members rated it good.

8. How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 91.30% of the faculty members rated excellent about the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence, 4.35% of the faculty members rated very good & 4.35% of the faculty members rated it good.

9. How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?


From the analysis report, it was observed that 95.65% of the faculty members rated excellent about the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics, 4.35% of the faculty members rated very good.

10. Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 95.65% of the faculty members rated excellent about the overall academic environment and performance of the college, 4.35% of the faculty members rated very good.

  
COORDINATOR

  
IQAC Co-ordinator  
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group  
of Institutions, Valluru 523 272

  
HOD  
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT  
Department of E.C.E  
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group  
of Institutions, VALLURU, A.P.-523 272



# RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)

NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

## INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

### ANALYSIS OF FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

ProgrammeName:B.Tech	Academic Year:2022-2023
Department Name:CSE	Semester:I

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?	24	2	1	0	0
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?	25	1	1	0	0
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?	23	2	2	0	0
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?	25	1	1	0	0
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?	24	2	1	0	0
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?	23	2	2	0	0
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?	24	2	1	0	0
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?	25	1	1	0	0
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?	25	2	0	0	0
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?	24	1	2	0	0

  
HOD

**HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT**

**Department of CSE**

**RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group of  
Institutions, VALLUR, A.P.-523 272**



**IQAC Co-ordinator**

**RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group**

## ANALYSIS OF FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Department Name	Academic Year	Semester
<b>B.Tech</b>	<b>CSE</b>	<b>2022-2023</b>	<b>I</b>

1. How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
24	2	1	0	0




2. How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
25	1	1	0	0




3. How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
23	2	2	0	0




4. How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
25	1	1	0	0




5. How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
24	2	1	0	0




6. How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content ?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
23	2	2	0	0




7. How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
24	2	1	0	0




8. How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
25	1	1	0	0




9. How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
25	2	0	0	0



10. Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
24	1	2	0	0





# RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)

NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

## INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

### REPORT ON FACULTY FEEDBACK ANALYSIS ON CURRICULUM

Program Name:B.TECH	Academic Year: 2022-23
Department Name:CSE	Year/Semester:I

1. How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 85.19 % of the faculty members rated excellent about the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies, 7.41% of the faculty members rated very good & 7.41% of the faculty members rated it good.

2. How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 96.30% of the faculty members rated excellent about the availability resources to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively, 3.70% of the faculty members rated very good & 0.00% of the faculty members rated it good.

3. How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 88.89% of the faculty members rated excellent about the engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions, 3.70% of the faculty members rated very good.

4. How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 88.89% of the faculty members rated excellent about the opportunities for professional development and training, 7.41% of the faculty members rated very good.

5. How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 92.59 % of the faculty members rated excellent about the support for faculty research, publications and conference attendance, 7.41% of the faculty members rated very good & 0.00% of the faculty members rated it good.

6. How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 92.59% of the faculty members rated excellent about the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content, 3.70 % of the faculty members rated very good & 3.70% of the faculty members rated it good.



7. How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 85.19% of the faculty members rated excellent about the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college, 11.11% of the faculty members rated very good.

8. How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 92.59% of the faculty members rated excellent about the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence, 3.70% of the faculty members rated very good.

9. How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 88.89% of the faculty members rated excellent about the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics, 7.41% of the faculty members rated very good & 3.70% of the faculty members rated it good.

10. Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 92.59% of the faculty members rated excellent about the overall academic environment and performance of the college, 3.70% of the faculty members rated very good & 3.70% of the faculty members rated it good.



COORDINATOR



HOD  
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT  
Department of CSE  
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group of  
Institutions, VALLUR, A.P.-523 272



IQAC Co-ordinator  
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group  
of Institutions, Valluru 523 272



# RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)

NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P


## INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

### ANALYSIS OF FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name: B.Tech	Academic Year: 2022-2023
Department Name: S&H	Year/Semester: I-II

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?	14	3	1	0	0
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?	15	2	1	0	0
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?	15	2	1	0	0
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?	17	1	0	0	0
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?	16	1	1	0	0
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?	15	2	1	0	0
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?	16	2	0	0	0
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?	15	2	1	0	0
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?	16	2	0	0	0
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?	15	3	0	0	0

  
IQAC Co-ordinator  
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group  
of Institutions, Valluru 523 272

  
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT  
Dept Of S & H  
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group  
of Institutions, VALLURU, A.P. 523 272

## ANALYSIS OF FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Department Name	Academic Year	Semester
<b>B.Tech</b>	<b>S&amp;H</b>	<b>2022-2023</b>	<b>I-II</b>


1. How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
14	3	1	0	0




2. How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
15	2	1	0	0




3. How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
15	2	1	0	0




4. How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
17	1	0	0	0




5. How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
16	1	1	0	0




6. How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content ?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
15	2	1	0	0




7. How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
16	2	0	0	0




8. How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
15	2	1	0	0




9. How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
16	2	0	0	0



10. Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
15	3	0	0	0





# RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)  
NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

## INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

### REPORT ON FACULTY FEEDBACK ANALYSIS ON CURRICULUM

Program Name : B.TECH	Academic Year : 2022-2023
Department Name : S&H	Year/Semester : I-II

1. How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 77.78 % of the faculty members rated excellent about the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies, 16.67% of the faculty members rated very good & 5.56% of the faculty members rated it good.

2. How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 83.33% of the faculty members rated excellent about the availability resources to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively, 11.11% of the faculty members rated very good & 5.56% of the faculty members rated it good.

3. How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 83.33% of the faculty members rated excellent about the engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions, 11.11% of the faculty members rated very good & 5.56% of the faculty members rated it good.

4. How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 94.44% of the faculty members rated excellent about the opportunities for professional development and training & 5.56% of the faculty members rated very good.

5. How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 88.89 % of the faculty members rated excellent about the support for faculty research, publications and conference attendance, 5.56% of the faculty members rated very good & 5.56% of the faculty members rated it good.

6. How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 83.33% of the faculty members rated excellent about the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content, 11.11 % of the faculty members rated very good & 5.56% of the faculty members rated it good.

7. How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 88.89% of the faculty members rated excellent about the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college & 11.11% of the faculty members rated very good.

8. How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 83.33% of the faculty members rated excellent about the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence, 11.11% of the faculty members rated very good & 5.56% of the faculty members rated it good

9. How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 88.89% of the faculty members rated excellent about the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics & 11.11% of the faculty members rated very good.

10. Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 83.33% of the faculty members rated excellent about the overall academic environment and performance of the college & 16.67% of the faculty members rated very good.

*N. Madhu Babu*  
COORDINATOR

*P.S.*  
IQAC Co-ordinator  
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group  
of Institutions, Valluru 523 272

*Pandey*  
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT  
Dept Of S & H  
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group  
of Institutions, VALLURU, A.P. 523 272





# RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)

NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

## INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

### ANALYSIS OF FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name: B.Tech	Academic Year: 2022-2023
Department Name: ECE	Semester: II

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?	21	1	1	0	0
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?	21	2	0	0	0
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?	21	2	0	0	0
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?	20	2	1	0	0
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?	21	1	1	0	0
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?	20	3	0	0	0
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?	20	2	1	0	0
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?	22	1	0	0	0
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?	21	1	1	0	0
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?	21	2	0	0	0

**IQAC Co-ordinator**  
**RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group**  
**of Institutions, Valluru 523272**

  
HOD


**HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT**  
**Department of E.C.E**  
**RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group**  
**of Institutions, VALLURU, A.P.-523 272**

## ANALYSIS OF FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Department Name	Academic Year	Semester
<b>B.Tech</b>	<b>ECE</b>	<b>2022-2023</b>	<b>II</b>


1. How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
21	1	1	0	0




2. How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
21	2	0	0	0




3. How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
21	2	0	0	0




4. How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
20	2	1	0	0




5. How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
21	1	1	0	0




6. How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content ?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
20	3	0	0	0




7. How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
20	2	1	0	0




8. How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
22	1	0	0	0




9. How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
21	1	1	0	0



10. Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
21	2	0	0	0





# RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)

NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

## INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

### REPORT ON FACULTY FEEDBACK ANALYSIS ON CURRICULUM

<b>Program Name: B.TECH</b>	<b>Academic Year: 2022-2023</b>
<b>Department Name: ECE</b>	<b>Year/Semester: II</b>

1. How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 91.30 % of the faculty members rated excellent about the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies, 4.35% of the faculty members rated very good & 4.35% of the faculty members rated it good.

2. How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 91.30% of the faculty members rated excellent about the availability resources to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively, % 8.70of the faculty members rated very good.

3. How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 91.30% of the faculty members rated excellent about the engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions, 8.70% of the faculty members rated very good.

4. How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 86.96% of the faculty members rated excellent about the opportunities for professional development and training, 8.70% of the faculty members rated very good & 4.35% of the faculty members rated it good.

5. How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 91.30 % of the faculty members rated excellent about the support for faculty research, publications and conference attendance, 4.35% of the faculty members rated very good & 4.35% of the faculty members rated it good.

6. How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 86.96% of the faculty members rated excellent about the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content,13.04 % of the faculty members rated very good.

7. How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 86.96% of the faculty members rated excellent about the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college, 8.70% of the faculty members rated very good & 4.35% of the faculty members rated it good.

8. How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 95.65% of the faculty members rated excellent about the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence, 4.35% of the faculty members rated very good.


9. How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?


From the analysis report, it was observed that 91.30% of the faculty members rated excellent about the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics, 4.35% of the faculty members rated very good & 4.35% of the faculty members rated it good.

10. Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 91.30% of the faculty members rated excellent about the overall academic environment and performance of the college, 8.70% of the faculty members rated very good.

  
COORDINATOR

  
IQAC Co-ordinator  
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group  
of Institutions, Valluru 523 272

  
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT  
Department of E.C.E.  
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group  
of Institutions, VALLURU, A.P.-523 272



# RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS: ONGOLE


(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)  
NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

## INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

### ANALYSIS OF FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

ProgrammeName:B.Tech	Academic Year:2022-2023
Department Name:CSE	Year/Semester:II

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?	24	3	0	0	0
2	How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?	25	1	1	0	0
3	How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?	24	2	1	0	0
4	How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?	23	3	1	0	0
5	How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?	25	1	1	0	0
6	How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?	24	2	1	0	0
7	How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?	25	1	1	0	0
8	How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?	24	2	1	0	0
9	How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?	25	2	0	0	0
10	Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?	26	1	0	0	0

  
HOD  
HEAD OF DEPARTMENT  
Department of CSE  
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group of  
Institutions, VALLUR, A.P.-523 272

  
IQAC Co-ordinator  
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group  
of Institutions, Valluru 523 272




## ANALYSIS OF FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name	Department Name	Academic Year	Semester
<b>B.Tech</b>	<b>CSE</b>	<b>2022-2023</b>	<b>II</b>


1. How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
24	3	0	0	0




2. How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
25	1	1	0	0




3. How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
24	2	1	0	0



4. How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
23	3	1	0	0




5. How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
25	1	1	0	0




6. How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content ?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
24	2	1	0	0




7. How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
25	1	1	0	0




8. How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
24	2	1	0	0




9. How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
25	2	0	0	0



10. Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
26	1	0	0	0





# RISE KRISHNA SAI GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)

NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

## INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

### REPORT ON FACULTY FEEDBACK ANALYSIS ON CURRICULUM

Program Name: B.TECH	Academic Year: 2022-23
Department Name: CSE	Year/Semester: II

1. How relevant do you find the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 90.48 % of the faculty members rated excellent about the current curriculum in relation to industry standards and emerging technologies, 4.76% of the faculty members rated very good & 4.76% of the faculty members rated it good.

2. How will you rate the availability of adequate resources (e.g., labs, software, teaching aids) to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 90.48% of the faculty members rated excellent about the availability resources to deliver your lectures and practical sessions effectively, 9.52% of the faculty members rated very good & 0.00% of the faculty members rated it good.

3. How engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 85.71% of the faculty members rated excellent about the engaged and participative do you find the students during your lectures and practical sessions, 9.52% of the faculty members rated very good.

4. How will you rate the opportunities for professional development and training?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 90.48% of the faculty members rated excellent about the opportunities for professional development and training, 4.76% of the faculty members rated very good.

5. How would you rate the support for faculty research, publications, and conference attendance?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 85.71 % of the faculty members rated excellent about the support for faculty research, publications and conference attendance, 9.52% of the faculty members rated very good & 4.76% of the faculty members rated it good.

6. How will you rate the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 90.48% of the faculty members rated excellent about the structured mechanism in place for receiving and acting upon feedback about your teaching methods and course content, 9.52 % of the faculty members rated very good & 0.00% of the faculty members rated it good.

7. How would you rate the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 95.24% of the faculty members rated excellent about the opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and research within the college, 4.76% of the faculty members rated very good.

8. How would you rate the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 85.71% of the faculty members rated excellent about the college's infrastructure and facilities in terms of supporting academic excellence, 14.29% of the faculty members rated very good.

9. How would you rate the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 85.71% of the faculty members rated excellent about the support of the administrative staff and management for the needs and concerns related to academics, 9.52% of the faculty members rated very good & 4.76% of the faculty members rated it good.

10. Considering all factors, how would you rate the academic environment and performance of the college?

From the analysis report, it was observed that 80.95% of the faculty members rated excellent about the overall academic environment and performance of the college, 14.29% of the faculty members rated very good & 4.76% of the faculty members rated it good.



COORDINATOR



HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT  
Department of CSE  
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group of  
Institutions, VALLUR, A.P.-523 272



IQAC Co-ordinator  
RISE Krishna Sai Gandhi Group  
of Institutions, Valluru 523 272